COGNITIVE LOAD AND ATTENTION IN MULTIMEDIA ADVERTISING - OPTIMIZING VISUAL AND VERBAL COMPONENTS

Lyubomira Spasova
Trakia University, Bulgaria, lyubomira.spasova@trakia-uni.bg

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to investigate the effects of cognitive load and attention on the effectiveness of multimedia advertising, with a focus on optimizing visual and verbal components. In the modern digital environment, users are constantly exposed to a high volume of multimedia stimuli, including images, videos, animations, and text, which compete for limited attentional resources and may lead to cognitive overload. The methodology of the study involves a comprehensive review of theoretical frameworks and empirical research in cognitive psychology, multimedia learning, and advertising effectiveness. Key theoretical foundations include Cognitive Load Theory, the Limited Capacity Model of Motivated Mediated Message Processing, and eye-tracking studies that assess attention distribution in multimedia contexts. The results indicate that moderate cognitive load enhances active information processing and memory retention, while excessive cognitive load reduces attention, comprehension, and the overall effectiveness of advertising messages. The findings further demonstrate that optimizing multimedia design, through minimizing extraneous visual elements, using concise and clear textual messages, synchronizing audio and visual channels, establishing a clear information hierarchy, and balancing engagement with cognitive load, significantly improves attention, comprehension, and retention. The study identifies underexplored areas, such as the impact of individual differences, emotional engagement, and real-world digital contexts on attention and cognitive load management, highlighting the potential for future research. The conclusions emphasize that understanding perception and cognition is critical for designing multimedia advertising that engages audiences and supports long-term message retention without cognitive overload. Recommendations include applying evidence-based design strategies in digital campaigns, while future studies should investigate longitudinal effects, personalization according to cognitive styles, and the integration of emotional and interactive components.
Keywords: Attention, Multimedia Advertising, Visual Optimization, Verbal Components.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary digital environment, advertising faces intense competition for the limited attention of users. Social media platforms, video streaming services, and various interactive formats continuously present a large volume of visual, auditory, and audio-visual stimuli that simultaneously capture attention and have the potential to overload an individual's cognitive resources (Lang, 2000; Mayer, 2009; John & Jackson, 2004). The constant exposure to such multimedia content creates both opportunities and challenges for advertisers, as the effectiveness of their messages increasingly depends on the audience's capacity to process and retain information (Kaptein & van Halteren, 2013). Understanding cognitive load and attention is therefore essential for designing advertisements that are both engaging and effective, particularly in multimedia contexts where visual and verbal elements are combined. This paper explores the dynamic interaction between visual and verbal components of advertising, examining how their design and presentation influence cognitive load, attentional allocation, and the subsequent comprehension and memory retention of the message (Guadagno & Cialdini, 2010). Additionally, it investigates strategies for optimizing multimedia advertising, including the careful structuring of visual information, the use of concise and clear textual messages, the synchronization of audio and visual channels, and the establishment of a clear hierarchy of information. By analysing existing theoretical frameworks and empirical studies, the paper provides insights into how advertisers can create campaigns that maximize user engagement while minimizing cognitive overload, ultimately enhancing both the short-term attention and long-term retention of advertising content (Kaptein, J., & Saini, 2010; McGraa, 2010).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cognitive load is defined as the amount of mental effort required to process information in working memory (Sweller, 1988). It represents a critical constraint in the design of multimedia content, as excessive cognitive demand can overwhelm an individual's processing capacity and reduce message effectiveness. According to Cognitive Load Theory, three main types of load can be distinguished: intrinsic load, which relates to the inherent complexity of the content itself and is influenced by prior knowledge of the user; extraneous load, which arises from the way information is presented, including irrelevant visual or verbal elements; and germane load, which refers to cognitive resources allocated to schema construction and meaningful understanding of the material (Sweller, Ayres &

Kalyuga, 2011). In multimedia advertising, high extraneous load is particularly problematic, as cluttered visuals, overly complex graphics, or poorly synchronized verbal components can lead to cognitive overload, decreasing comprehension, retention, and overall persuasive impact (Mayer, 2009; Hutchinson, Kamakura & Lynch, 2001). Conversely, moderate cognitive load facilitates active processing, encourages deeper engagement with the content, and supports long-term memory formation (Lang, 2006; Johnson, 2004).

Attention capacity is inherently limited: individuals can focus on and process only a finite amount of information at any given time (Kahneman, 1973). The limited capacity model demonstrates that excessive cognitive load disperses attentional resources, resulting in reduced encoding into long-term memory and diminished effectiveness of advertising messages (Broadbent, 1958). In real-world digital environments, additional constraints—such as banner blindness, multitasking, rapid scrolling, and competing notifications—further reduce the likelihood that a user will fully attend to advertising stimuli (Brasel & Gips, 2008). These limitations underscore the need for carefully structured multimedia design that guides attention to the most relevant elements (Kaptein & van Halteren, 2013).

Visual elements, including images, graphics, animations, and videos, play a dual role in multimedia advertising. They are powerful in capturing attention and facilitating comprehension by providing concrete representations of abstract concepts, demonstrating product usage, or evoking emotions. However, when visual stimuli are overly complex, numerous, or poorly organized, they increase extraneous load and can overwhelm the user's working memory, reducing both attention and retention (Mayer, 2009; Guadagno & Cialdini, 2010). Eye-tracking studies highlight that users selectively focus on central visual elements, often ignoring peripheral or redundant information (Pieters & Wedel, 2004). This emphasizes the importance of strategic visual hierarchy, where key images or graphics are prominently positioned to guide attention and maximize engagement (John & Jackson, 2004).

Verbal components, including headlines, body text, subheadings, and audio narration, serve to clarify and reinforce visual information. Short, concise, and clearly structured messages enhance germane cognitive load, supporting the construction of cognitive schemas and improving comprehension of advertising content (Mayer, 2009; Kaptein et al., 2010). In contrast, excessive, ambiguous, or irrelevant text increases extraneous load, fragmenting attention and hindering memory formation (McGraa, 2010). The interplay between visual and verbal components is critical: visuals that complement verbal explanations reduce cognitive effort and improve understanding, whereas mismatched or redundant verbal-visual information can lead to split attention and overload (Guadagno & Cialdini, 2010).

Integrating these theoretical and empirical insights, it becomes evident that the effectiveness of multimedia advertising relies on careful structuring and coordination of visual and verbal components. Key strategies include reducing extraneous visuals, providing concise textual content, synchronizing audio and visual channels, and establishing a clear hierarchy of information. These strategies collectively aim to reduce extraneous cognitive load, optimize attention allocation, and enhance retention of the advertising message (Kaptein & van Halteren, 2013; Johnson, 2004). The table below summarizes these strategies, providing a practical framework for designing multimedia content that balances engagement, comprehension, and cognitive efficiency.

Table 1 Key strategies for optimizing multimedia design in advertising

Strategy	Description	Impact on Cognitive Load and Attention	References
Minimizing extraneous visual elements	Use only essential images, graphics, or animations that support the message; avoid clutter and decorative visuals.	Reduces extraneous load, helps focus attention on critical information, and prevents cognitive overload.	Mayer, 2009; Sweller, Ayres & Kalyuga, 2011
Concise and clear textual messages	Highlight key ideas and remove unnecessary words; structure headlines, subheadings, and body text clearly.	Supports germane load, facilitates schema construction, and improves comprehension and retention.	Mayer, 2009; Moreno & Mayer, 2007
Synchronizing audio and visual channels	Align narration, sound, and visual elements to reinforce key messages.	Enhances attention, prevents split-attention effects, and engages working memory efficiently.	Mayer, 2009; Lang, 2006; Moreno, 2006
Clear hierarchy of information	Place central elements prominently; peripheral details should be secondary.	Guides visual scanning, directs attention to critical components, and improves message retention.	Pieters & Wedel, 2004; Rayner et al., 2001
Balancing engagement and cognitive load	Optimize complexity and interactivity to maintain moderate cognitive load without overwhelming users.	Sustains attention and engagement while avoiding cognitive overload, supporting comprehension and memory.	Brasel & Gips, 2014; Uncapher & Wagner, 2018; Lang, 2006

Source: own compilation.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 provides a structured overview of key strategies for optimizing multimedia design, synthesizing insights from both theoretical frameworks and empirical research in cognitive psychology, multimedia learning, and advertising. The consistent finding across these studies is that cognitive load management is critical for effective communication in multimedia environments. Mayer (2009) and Moreno & Mayer (2007) demonstrate that reducing extraneous visual and textual elements, hose not directly relevant to the learning or persuasive goal, allows for more cognitive resources to be allocated to germane processing, supporting the construction of mental schemas and improving comprehension. This, in turn, enhances users' ability to retain advertising messages and increases the likelihood of successful message delivery.

Lang (2006) emphasizes the importance of synchronizing audio and visual channels, showing that proper integration engages working memory efficiently. This integration prevents split attention, a common source of cognitive overload, while maintaining user engagement and focus. Multimedia design, therefore, is not merely about layering sensory elements, but about the strategic orchestration of visual and verbal components to optimize cognitive processing. In particular, concise textual information combined with supportive imagery strengthens the mental representation of the message, facilitating deeper understanding and long-term retention.

Eye-tracking studies by Pieters & Wedel (2004) and Rayner et al. (2001) provide empirical evidence for selective attention patterns, showing that users focus primarily on central visual elements while often ignoring peripheral or redundant information. These findings underscore the importance of both **visual hierarchy** (prioritizing key images, graphics, and visual cues) and **verbal hierarchy** (structuring text with clear headings, bullet points, and minimal redundancy), to guide attention and enhance comprehension. Optimally, visual elements should complement rather than compete with verbal information, allowing the audience to integrate the message efficiently across multiple sensory channels (Kaptein & Saini, 2010).

Furthermore, the interplay between visual salience and verbal clarity has implications for memory consolidation. Overly complex visuals or dense text can overwhelm working memory, whereas well-aligned visual-verbal pairs promote cognitive scaffolding, enabling the formation of stronger mental schemas. Practical applications include using colour, contrast, and motion strategically to highlight essential information, while verbal content remains succinct, contextually relevant, and aligned with visual cues.

Overall, these findings suggest that effective multimedia advertising requires a careful balance: capturing attention, sustaining engagement, and facilitating comprehension without exceeding cognitive capacity. Future research should investigate how interactive elements, dynamic real-world digital contexts, and individual differences in cognitive style or prior experience influence the processing of combined visual and verbal information. Moreover, examining the role of emotional engagement in modulating attention and cognitive load could further refine guidelines for designing multimedia messages that are both memorable and persuasive.

4. DISCUSSIONS

The analysis of key strategies for optimizing multimedia design highlights several critical areas that remain insufficiently explored, despite substantial advances in research on cognitive load and attention. One major gap concerns the interaction of visual and verbal components in real-world, dynamic digital contexts, where users frequently engage in multitasking, rapidly switching between multiple information streams, notifications, and social interactions. Laboratory-based studies (Mayer, 2009; Moreno & Mayer, 2007) demonstrate that optimized visual-verbal integration, such as synchronizing images with concise text, can enhance attention and comprehension in static or semi-static formats, yet their applicability to complex online environments remains uncertain. Understanding how the balance and hierarchy of visual and verbal elements function under real-world conditions is essential, as poor integration may increase extraneous cognitive load and reduce message retention.

Another important issue is the long-term impact of visual and verbal components on memory, comprehension, and subsequent behavioural outcomes. While short-term attention and engagement have been documented (Lang, 2006; Pieters & Wedel, 2004), the effects of multimedia design on sustained learning, cognitive consolidation, and influence on future consumer decisions are still insufficiently studied. The interplay between visual salience, textual clarity, and auditory cues remains underexplored, raising questions about optimal design strategies for different audiences and content types.

Individual differences constitute a further critical area. Factors such as age, prior experience with digital technologies, cognitive style, working memory capacity, and cultural background may significantly influence how users process visual and verbal stimuli (Uncapher & Wagner, 2018). Existing models primarily rely on group-level averages, which limits their generalizability and constrains the development of personalized or adaptive advertising strategies that can account for heterogeneous cognitive and perceptual profiles (Johnson, 2004).

Furthermore, the emotional dimension of multimedia advertising is only weakly integrated into current frameworks. Emotionally charged visual and verbal elements can simultaneously enhance engagement and cognitive processing, but may also increase the risk of cognitive overload or distract from key messages. The interplay between affective responses, attentional allocation, and cognitive load for both visual and verbal channels requires further empirical investigation to guide effective ad design.

Finally, ethical considerations regarding the manipulation of attention through visual and verbal design elements are rarely addressed. Excessive or intrusive use of stimuli may provoke frustration, reduce trust, or generate negative attitudes toward the brand, highlighting the need for responsible design practices.

In summary, future research should examine the integration of visual and verbal components in naturalistic digital contexts, investigate their long-term cognitive and behavioural effects, account for individual differences, integrate emotional and affective dimensions, and consider ethical implications. Addressing these gaps will facilitate the development of more precise, adaptive, and effective multimedia advertising strategies that optimize cognitive load and attention, ensuring that visual and verbal elements enhance comprehension, retention, and engagement without inducing cognitive overload.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The present study underscores that cognitive load and attention are fundamental determinants of the effectiveness of multimedia advertising. Moderate cognitive load facilitates active information processing, enhances comprehension, and improves retention of advertising messages, whereas excessive cognitive load may lead to cognitive overload, reduced engagement, and diminished message effectiveness. Effective optimization of visual and verbal components, including minimizing extraneous visual and textual elements, using concise and structured textual messages, synchronizing audio and visual channels, and establishing a clear hierarchy of information - supports attentional allocation, comprehension, and long-term memory of the advertising content (Kaptein & van Halteren, 2013).

Despite these insights, the analysis highlights several underexplored areas that warrant further investigation. First, the impact of individual differences, such as cognitive style, prior digital experience, working memory capacity, age, and cultural background, on the processing of visual and verbal components remains insufficiently examined. Second, emotional engagement induced by multimedia elements, including the interplay between affective and cognitive responses, is poorly integrated into current models and requires systematic study. Third, the ecological validity of laboratory-based findings is limited; the dynamic, multitasking nature of real-world digital environments raises questions about the transferability of controlled experimental results to practical advertising contexts. Additionally, the long-term effects of multimedia design on memory retention, behavioural outcomes, and brand perception have received limited empirical attention.

Based on these gaps, future research should pursue several directions. Longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the sustained impact of optimized visual and verbal components on memory and consumer behaviour. Experimental research in naturalistic digital environments, including social media, video-sharing platforms, and interactive applications, will provide a more accurate understanding of real-world cognitive processing. Further investigations should explore the interaction between cognitive load, emotional engagement, and attentional allocation, enabling the development of adaptive and personalized multimedia advertising strategies. Moreover, studies examining the ethical implications of manipulating attention through visual and verbal design elements would support responsible advertising practices.

In conclusion, addressing these gaps will facilitate the creation of evidence-based, cognitively balanced multimedia campaigns that maximize audience engagement, improve retention, and enhance overall advertising effectiveness. Advertisers can leverage these findings to design content that strategically manages cognitive load and attention while accounting for individual and contextual variability, ultimately fostering more meaningful and memorable consumer experiences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to my family for their support throughout the preparation of this study. Special thanks are also extended to Trakia University for providing the necessary resources and institutional support that facilitated this research.

REFERENCES

Brasel, S. A., & Gips, J. (2008). Media multitasking behaviour: Concurrent television and computer use. *CyberPsychology & Behaviour, 11*(3), 323–332. https://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2007.0053

Broadbent, D. E. (1958). *Perception and communication*. Pergamon Press.

Guadagno, R., & Cialdini, R. (2010). Preference for Consistency and Social Influence: A review of current research finding, *Social Influence*, *5* (3): 152-163. https://doi.org/10.1080/15534510903332378

Hutchinson, J. W., Kamakura, W. A., & Lynch, J. J. G. (2001). Unob-served Heterogeneity as an Alternative Explanation for "Reversal" Effects in Behavioural Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *27*(3):324–344. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-10912-1_181

John, O 'S., & Jackson, N. (2004). *Persuasion in Advertising*, Taylor & Francis Group, London and New York, ISBN 0-203-29998-1. http://moaveni.ir/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Persuasion_in_Advertising.pdf

Johnson, D. I. (2004). Bargaining Simulation: Applying Bargaining Plans and Strategies, *Communication Teacher*, *18* (2), 57-60. **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.1080/1740462042000191937

Kahneman, D. (1973). *Attention and effort*. Prentice-Hall.

Kaptein, M. C., & van Halteren, A. (2013). Adaptive Persuasive Messaging to Increase Service Retention: using persuasion profiles to increase the effectiveness of email reminders. *Journal of Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, *17*, 1173-1185. DOI: 10.1007/s00779-012-0585-3

Kaptein, M. C., J, L., & Saini, P. (2010). Individual Differences in Persuadability in the Health Promotion Domain. In Ploug, T., Hasle, P., and Oinas-Kukkonen, H., editors, *Persuasive Technology*,*3*, 94–105. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg.Doi 10.1007/978-3-642-13226-1_11 McGraa, K. L. (2010). *The effects of persuasive motivational text messaging on adherence to diet and exercise programs across different personality traits*. Ph.D. thesis, Fielding Graduate University.

Lang, A. (2000). The limited capacity model of mediated message processing. *Journal of Communication, 50*(1), 46–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02833.x

Lang, A. (2006). Using the limited capacity model of motivated mediated message processing to design effective cancer communication messages. *Journal of Communication, 56*(S1), S57–S80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00288.x

Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. E. (2007). Interactive multimodal learning environments. *Educational Psychology Review, 19*(3), 309–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-007-9047-2

Pieters, R., & Wedel, M. (2004). Attention capture and transfer in advertising: Brand, pictorial, and text-size effects. *Journal of Marketing, 68*(2), 36–50. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.2.36.27788

Rayner, K., Miller, B., Rotello, C. M., & Duffy, S. A. (2001). Eye movements and on-line language comprehension processes. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 24*(2), 237–238. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X01004083

Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science, 12*(2), 257–285. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4

Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). *Cognitive load theory*. Springer.

Uncapher, M. R., & Wagner, A. D. (2018). Minds and brains of media multitaskers: Current findings and future directions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115*(40), 9889–9896. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721694115