

IMPACT OF ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT ON FIRM PERFORMANCE IN REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO

Albulena Mehmeti

Faculty of Economics – Skopje, Ss' Cyril and Methodius University, North Macedonia,
a.mehmetii@outlook.com

Abstract: Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) has become a vital framework for organizations aiming to manage risks holistically and align risk management with strategic objectives. This study examines the impact of ERM on firm performance in the Republic of Kosovo, a post-war developing economy characterized by political volatility, regulatory immaturity, and a predominance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Combining empirical surveys of 55 Kosovar firms, regression analysis, and qualitative insights, the research reveals that 78.2% of firms attribute reduced risk exposure to ERM adoption, while 83.6% report improved financial performance post-implementation. These findings align with global studies that link ERM to enhanced profitability, earnings stability, and market value. However, the Kosovar context introduces unique moderators: SMEs face disproportionate challenges due to resource constraints (reported by 62.9% of respondents) and knowledge gaps, while larger firms and regulated sectors exhibit stronger ERM effectiveness. Leadership commitment and organizational culture emerge as critical enablers, yet resistance to change and difficulties in quantifying ERM's ROI hinder adoption. Notably, ERM's strategic value extends beyond financial metrics, with 69.1% of firms crediting it for improved corporate reputation, a crucial asset in Kosovo's trust-scarce business environment.

Keywords: ERM, SME, Republic of Kosovo

1. INTRODUCTION

In today's increasingly complex and uncertain business environment, organizations are compelled to adopt comprehensive frameworks that effectively manage a wide spectrum of risks while supporting strategic decision-making. Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) has emerged as a holistic approach that integrates risk management into the core activities of a firm, aligning it with organizational goals and enhancing long-term resilience. Globally, ERM is recognized for its potential to improve financial performance, stabilize earnings, and bolster market competitiveness (Gordon, Loeb, & Tseng, 2009; Hoyt & Liebenberg, 2011). However, its implementation and impact can vary significantly across different economic and institutional contexts. This study explores the influence of ERM on firm performance within the Republic of Kosovo—a transitional, post-conflict economy characterized by political instability, evolving regulatory frameworks, and a predominance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). While the global discourse on ERM is well-established, limited empirical research has examined its implications in developing economies like Kosovo, where firms operate under unique constraints and face distinct strategic challenges.

Drawing on data from 55 Kosovar firms, this study seeks to fill this gap by examining how ERM practices affect financial and non-financial performance outcomes. The findings underscore ERM's positive contribution to risk mitigation, financial performance, and corporate reputation, while also highlighting contextual barriers such as limited resources, knowledge deficiencies, and resistance to organizational change. By situating ERM within the specific socio-economic landscape of Kosovo, this research provides nuanced insights into its practical value, especially for SMEs striving to navigate uncertainty and foster sustainable growth.

ERM is rooted in the idea that effective risk management contributes to value creation by reducing uncertainty and enhancing decision-making. According to Nocco and Stulz (2006), ERM provides a structured approach to managing risks that aligns with the firm's strategic goals, thereby improving performance. The integration of ERM into organizational processes enables firms to balance risk and return, leading to better resource allocation and operational efficiency. The COSO (2017) framework emphasizes that ERM is not just about mitigating risks but also about leveraging opportunities to achieve strategic objectives. By embedding risk management into decision-making processes, firms can enhance their resilience and adaptability in dynamic environments.

2. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE ON ERM AND FIRM PERFORMANCE

Numerous studies have examined the relationship between ERM adoption and firm performance. Key findings include:

Enhanced Firm Value. Hoyt and Liebenberg (2011) found that firms with ERM programs tend to have higher market value compared to those without ERM. Their study suggests that ERM reduces the cost of capital by improving risk transparency and investor confidence.

Improved Financial Performance

Gordon, Loeb, and Tseng (2009) demonstrated that ERM adoption positively impacts firm performance, particularly in industries with high uncertainty. Firms with mature ERM programs reported higher profitability and lower earnings volatility.

Operational Efficiency Beasley, Clune, and Hermanson (2005) highlighted that ERM implementation is associated with better operational outcomes, such as improved resource allocation and reduced inefficiencies. This is particularly evident in firms that integrate ERM into their strategic planning processes.

Resilience and Competitive Advantage McKinsey & Company (2018) emphasized that ERM enhances organizational resilience, enabling firms to navigate disruptions and maintain competitive advantage. Firms with robust ERM frameworks are better equipped to respond to emerging risks and capitalize on opportunities.

Factors influencing ERM effectiveness

The impact of ERM on firm performance is contingent on several factors, including:

Leadership Commitment. The role of senior management and the board of directors is critical in driving ERM adoption. Pagach and Warr (2011) found that firms with dedicated Chief Risk Officers (CROs) are more likely to achieve successful ERM implementation.

Organizational Culture. A risk-aware culture that encourages open communication and collaboration is essential for ERM success. Fraser and Simkins (2010) argue that cultural alignment is a key determinant of ERM effectiveness.

Integration with Strategy. ERM must be closely aligned with the firm's strategic objectives to deliver value. COSO (2017) emphasizes that ERM should be embedded into strategic planning processes to ensure that risks and opportunities are considered in decision-making.

Challenges in ERM Implementation

Despite its benefits, ERM implementation faces several challenges:

Resource Constraints. Developing and maintaining an ERM framework requires significant investment in technology, training, and personnel. Smaller firms may struggle to allocate resources for ERM.

Resistance to Change. Employees and managers may resist ERM adoption due to a lack of understanding or fear of increased accountability. Effective change management is crucial to overcoming this barrier.

Measuring Effectiveness of ERM. Quantifying the impact of ERM on firm performance remains a challenge. While financial metrics such as return on equity (ROE) and earnings volatility are commonly used, they may not fully capture the value of ERM.

3. RESEARCH AND METHODOLOGY

Methodology: Cross-sectional survey analysis with quantitative and qualitative elements.

Independent Variable: ERM adoption (measured by self-reported implementation of ERM practices).

Dependent Variables:

- Risk exposure reduction
- Financial performance
- Strategic alignment
- Reputational impact

Data collection

Survey Instrument: Structured questionnaire with Likert-scale and categorical responses.

Response Rate: 100% (55/55 companies).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hypothesis 1: ERM adoption reduces risk exposure and improves financial performance.

In the question, to what extent do you believe Business Risk Management (ERM) has helped in reducing your company's exposure to risks? From the results we understand that companies in Kosovo believe that ERM help in reducing exposure to risk.

Risk Exposure Reduction: 78.2% of firms reported reduced risk exposure ("To a great extent" + "To some extent").

Statistical Significance: Chi-square test confirms a strong association between ERM adoption and perceived risk reduction ($p < 0.01$).

On the other hand, the question asked respondents to rate the overall impact of Business Risk Management (ERM) on their organization's financial performance, and whether they had noticed any changes since implementing ERM practices. The responses indicated that ERM has a positive impact on financial performance, with a significant improvement observed.

Financial Performance:

75.9% reported positive/very positive financial impact.

83.6% observed financial improvement post-ERM.

Correlation: ERM adoption positively correlates with ROA/ROE improvements ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.05$).

Hypothesis 2: ERM impact varies by firm size and sector.

The questionnaire was distributed across major business sectors operating in the Republic of Kosovo, targeting businesses of all sizes small, medium, and large with a particular focus on medium and large enterprises. In response to question, “What are the biggest challenges your company faces in implementing risk management practices?”, the majority of respondents indicated two main challenges with high percentage rates in lack of resources and lack of knowledge or expertise.

SME Challenges: 62.9% of SMEs cited "lack of resources" or "expertise" as barriers vs. 22% of larger firms.

ANOVA Results: Larger firms reported higher ERM effectiveness scores ($F = 4.12$, $p < 0.05$).

Regulated Sectors: Financial/insurance firms reported 89% "very positive" financial impact vs. 58% in manufacturing.

Hypothesis 3: Management commitment drives ERM success.

This hypothesis explores the link between management involvement and the success of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) frameworks. The results from the questionnaire support this hypothesis through two key areas. Effectiveness of Risk Management Frameworks and Future Role of Risk Management.

- Effectiveness:

65.4% rated ERM frameworks as effective.

Firms with dedicated risk officers reported 30% higher effectiveness scores.

- Future Outlook:

65.5% expect ERM's role to grow, tied to leadership prioritization.

5. REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Model: Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) to test ERM's impact on financial performance.

Equation:

Financial Performance = $\beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{ERM Adoption}) + \beta_2(\text{Firm Size}) + \beta_3(\text{Resources}) + \varepsilon$

Variable	Coefficient (β)	p-value	Interpretation
ERM Adoption	0.71	0.003	Significant positive effect
Firm Size	0.45	0.021	Larger firms benefit more
Resource Gaps	-0.33	0.042	Lack of resources weakens ERM impact

R^2 : 0.68 (68% of variance explained).

Source: author

6. QUALITATIVE INSIGHTS

Interviews with fifty-five firms revealed:

- ERM helped navigate Kosovo's political instability and corruption risks.
- SMEs use informal risk practices due to cost constraints.
- Cybersecurity is a growing concern but lacks local expertise.

7. DISCUSSION

Key Findings:

- ERM adoption in Kosovo correlates with reduced risk exposure, improved financial performance, and strategic alignment.
- Firm size and resource availability moderate ERM effectiveness, aligning with global studies (e.g., Hoyt & Liebenberg, 2011).
- Weak regulatory pressure limits ERM standardization, unlike in advanced economies.

Contribution to Literature:

Validates ERM's role in developing economies but highlights contextual barriers (e.g., SME resource gaps).

Extends the "risk-resilience" framework to post-conflict settings.

Limitations

Self-Reporting Bias: Perceptual data may overstate ERM's impact.

Sample Size: fifty-five firms limit generalizability; sector-specific trends need larger samples.
Causality: Cross-sectional design cannot confirm ERM → performance causality.

8. CONCLUSION

The adoption of ERM has a profound impact on firm performance, enabling organizations to manage risks more effectively, enhance decision-making, and achieve strategic objectives. This empirical study confirms ERM's positive impact on Kosovar firms, particularly in risk mitigation and financial outcomes. However, SMEs face structural barriers requiring policy interventions (e.g., subsidized training, regulatory incentives). Future work should use longitudinal data to track ERM's evolving role as Kosovo's economy matures.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, I., & Manab, N. A. (2016). Influence of enterprise risk management success factors on firm financial and non-financial performance: A proposed model. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6(3), 830–836.
- Anugerah, R., Ariyanto, N. R., & Sari, R. N. (2023). Effect of enterprise risk management and corporate governance mechanisms on firm performance: Evidence from listed companies in Indonesia. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 21(3), 589–600.
- Beasley, M. S., Clune, R., & Hermanson, D. R. (2005). Enterprise Risk Management: An Empirical Analysis of Factors Associated with the Extent of Implementation. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 24(6), 521–531.
- COSO. (2017). *Enterprise Risk Management—Integrating with Strategy and Performance*. Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.
- Fraser, J., & Simkins, B. J. (2010). *Enterprise Risk Management: Today's Leading Research and Best Practices for Tomorrow's Executives*. Wiley.
- Gordon, L. A., Loeb, M. P., & Tseng, C. Y. (2009). Enterprise Risk Management and Firm Performance: A Contingency Perspective. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 28(4), 301–327.
- Gordon, L. A., Loeb, M. P., & Tseng, C.-Y. (2009). Enterprise risk management and firm performance: A contingency perspective. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 28(4), 301–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2009.06.006>
- Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2011). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 78(4), 795–822.
- Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2011). The value of enterprise risk management. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*.
- Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2011). The value of enterprise risk management. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 78(4), 795–822. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6975.2011.01413.x>
- Lam, J. (2014). *Enterprise Risk Management: From Incentives to Controls*. Wiley.
- Le Vinh Quang, N. Ngoc-Long, & Pham X. G. (2024). Enterprise risk management and firm performance: Exploring the roles of knowledge, technology, and supply chain. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 22(2), 150–164.
- McKinsey & Company. (2018). *The Rise of the Resilient Enterprise: Risk Management in the Age of Disruption*.
- Nocco, B. W., & Stulz, R. M. (2006). Enterprise Risk Management: Theory and Practice. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 18(4), 8–20.
- Pagach, D., & Warr, R. (2011). The Characteristics of Firms that Hire Chief Risk Officers. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 78(1), 185–211.
- Resende, S., Monje-Amor, A., & Calvo, N. (2024). The effect of enterprise risk management on firm performance: The mediating role of research & development investment. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 28(5), 2450023.
- Saeidi, P., Saeidi, S. P., Gutierrez, L., Streimikiene, D., Alrasheedi, M., Saeidi, S. P., & Mardani, A. (2021). The influence of enterprise risk management on firm performance with the moderating effect of intellectual capital dimensions. *Ekonomika Istraživanja – Economic Research*, 34(1), 122–151.
- World Bank (2022). *Kosovo Enterprise Survey*.