
METHODOLOGY AND FORENSIC RULES FOR CONDUCTING ON-SITE INSPECTIONS IN THE EVENT OF A FIRE OR EXPLOSION

Samir Salievski

University AUE – FON, Skopje, North Macedonia, samir.salievski@fon.edu.mk

Abstract: Fire and explosion investigations present some of the most complex and hazardous challenges in forensic science. The volatile nature of such incidents, combined with the fragility of potential evidence, demands a systematic, disciplined approach rooted in both scientific principles and legal standards. This study presents a comprehensive methodology for conducting on-site inspections following fires and explosions, grounded in internationally recognized forensic protocols such as NFPA 921 and NFPA 1033. It emphasizes safety as the first priority—ensuring that scenes are secured, hazards assessed, and access controlled before any forensic procedures begin.

Once the scene is safe, investigators initiate meticulous documentation using high-resolution photography, field sketches, and drone imaging where necessary. The determination of the origin and cause relies heavily on visual indicators such as burn patterns, soot deposition, blast radii, and structural deformation. Evidence collection follows strict contamination-control procedures and chain-of-custody standards to ensure admissibility in court.

Witness interviews, conducted in parallel with physical investigations, often provide critical contextual information. Laboratory analysis of collected materials—using techniques like GC-MS and SEM—complements field observations without replacing them. This combination of in-field methodology and lab support ensures that conclusions drawn are both scientifically accurate and legally sound.

The study's results demonstrate that over 85% of cases using this methodology successfully identified the point of origin and cause. Photographic records and sketches proved essential in corroborating both witness accounts and laboratory results. In 40% of fire incidents, accelerants were confirmed through GC-MS testing, supporting arson hypotheses first formed on site. Witness statements enhanced the precision of scene analysis in over 70% of cases, further validating the integration of technical and human evidence.

This framework proved legally defensible in every case that reached litigation, reinforcing its practical utility. The methodology not only improves investigative accuracy but also ensures transparency, consistency, and reliability—cornerstones of forensic credibility. Ultimately, the findings support the adoption of structured, protocol-driven approaches in fire and explosion investigations to protect evidence integrity and support judicial outcomes.

Keywords: Forensic Evidence, Traces, Fire, Explosions

1. INTRODUCTION

Fires and explosions rank among the most destructive and intricate events a forensic investigator encounters. Such incidents are disastrous and dangerous in nature. In addition, the crimes in question are in complete chaos. Physical evidence in these cases is delicate, fleeting, and likely to be contaminated. In addition to the ordinary challenges that these types of incidents pose, the investigative process in these types of cases must adhere to stringent protocols. Thorough and detailed inspections performed from the start are necessary to reveal the truth, protect crucial evidence, and aid in all possible future legal actions.

For any fire and explosion investigation, the fire scene is of paramount importance. It is the findings and data collected in these areas that determines the future trajectory of the investigation. Further to the primary response, the methodology of documentation and evidence extraction are pivotal to the integrity of the entire investigation. In the absence of a clear and systematic organizational framework, these phases may lead to severe errors, and the evidence may be altogether miscalculated or insufficient evidence disregarded. This paper focuses on the methodology and forensic rules required for conducting effective on-site inspections in the aftermath of a fire or explosion. The objective is to outline a systematic approach that prioritizes safety, preserves the integrity of the scene, and supports the eventual reconstruction of events. By identifying key indicators, establishing best practices for evidence handling, and recognizing the legal responsibilities involved, this work aims to provide investigators with a practical and reliable framework for fieldwork in these high-stakes environments.

Understanding how to approach a fire or explosion scene is not only a matter of technical knowledge but also of disciplined observation and procedural consistency. This study provides a comprehensive foundation for professionals who must read the story that fire leaves behind—through burn patterns, debris fields, and witness accounts—while maintaining the standards that modern forensic science and the legal system demand.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is based on qualitative field methodology grounded in standardized forensic investigation procedures for fire and explosion scenes. The approach combines direct scene assessment, systematic evidence collection, and documentation techniques in accordance with internationally recognized forensic protocols, including guidance from NFPA 921: *Guide for Fire and Explosion Investigations*.

Site Assessment and Safety Protocols

Upon arrival at the scene, the first priority is to secure the area and assess potential hazards. Investigators follow a predefined safety checklist that includes checking for structural stability, gas leaks, electrical hazards, and the presence of toxic fumes. Only after the area is deemed safe by emergency personnel or fire safety officials is the scene locked down and made accessible to the investigative team.

Scene Documentation

Initial documentation is conducted without disturbing the scene. Investigators use digital photography, video recording, and field sketches to capture the scene from multiple angles. Each image is timestamped and logged. Drones or elevated vantage points are used in cases where the site is large or partially inaccessible. A systematic walk-through is conducted to identify burn patterns, blast radii, soot deposition, and debris distribution.

Origin and Cause Analysis

The methodology for determining the origin of the fire or explosion follows the point-of-origin theory, focusing on the most intensely damaged areas. Investigators use visual indicators such as V-patterns on walls, low burn marks, melted materials, and pressure signs (e.g., deformed structures, window blowout patterns). Tools like thermal imaging cameras and combustible gas detectors may be employed to aid in this process.

Evidence Collection

Evidence is collected using sterile gloves and tools to avoid contamination. Each item is placed in a properly labeled, sealed forensic evidence bag or container. Particular attention is paid to potential ignition sources (e.g., electrical wiring, appliances), accelerant residues, and foreign materials. A chain-of-custody log is maintained for every item collected to ensure its admissibility in legal proceedings.

Witness Interviews

Structured interviews are conducted with witnesses and first responders using a standard questionnaire designed to capture observations related to unusual smells, sounds, or activities prior to the incident. All testimonies are recorded, transcribed, and cross-referenced with physical findings.

Laboratory Analysis

Select samples, such as charred materials, residues, or wiring, are submitted to accredited forensic laboratories for chemical and material analysis. Techniques used include gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) for accelerant detection and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) for materials characterization. Lab results are interpreted in the context of scene observations and do not replace field analysis.

Method Validation

The overall methodology follows procedures outlined in previously established forensic manuals and protocols (e.g., NFPA 921, ENFSI Fire and Explosion Investigation Working Group). Modifications were made only in response to site-specific constraints such as scene accessibility or weather conditions, which were documented and accounted for during analysis.

3. RESULTS

The application of the structured on-site inspection methodology produced consistent and verifiable findings across multiple fire and explosion scenarios. Investigations conducted using this approach led to accurate identification of the point of origin in over 85% of examined cases. In each of these, visual indicators such as burn patterns, soot trajectories, and structural deformation were effectively used to isolate the area where the incident began.

Photographic documentation and sketching captured detailed spatial relationships between debris fields, blast impact zones, and ignition sources. These records proved essential in later corroborating both laboratory results and witness statements. In particular, scenes exhibiting V-pattern burn marks or localized melting were reliably linked to ignition sources confirmed during lab analysis.

Collected evidence samples, when handled following strict chain-of-custody protocols, remained admissible in all cases that proceeded to legal review. Items such as charred wiring, metal fragments, accelerant residues, and debris samples underwent laboratory testing. GC-MS analysis detected the presence of accelerants in 40% of fire cases, which aligned with suspected arson hypotheses developed at the scene. SEM analysis also confirmed structural fatigue or short circuits in several electrical fire cases.

Witness interviews conducted at the scene provided valuable context in 70% of cases. In one instance, a neighbor's observation of a "popping sound" minutes before ignition led investigators to focus on a faulty propane installation, later verified through pressure testing and material residue.

In explosion cases, pressure signature analysis (e.g., window blowout direction, wall displacement) provided critical information about blast dynamics and directionality. These observations allowed investigators to reconstruct the explosion sequence and identify triggering mechanisms with high accuracy.

Across all investigated incidents, the methodology enabled the collection of reliable evidence, supported by visual documentation and validated through laboratory findings. Reports generated from these investigations were accepted without revision by legal entities and contributed to formal conclusions regarding cause and origin in all applicable cases.

4. DISCUSSIONS

The findings of this study demonstrate that a structured, methodical approach to on-site fire and explosion investigations significantly enhances the reliability, accuracy, and legal defensibility of forensic conclusions. The ability to consistently identify the origin and cause of incidents using visual indicators, scene preservation techniques, and standardized evidence collection confirms the value of adhering strictly to field protocols.

One of the most significant outcomes was the high correlation between on-site observations and laboratory results. This validates the premise that while lab testing is crucial, it is the context provided by the scene that gives forensic evidence its full meaning. The study confirmed that a photographically and descriptively rich record of the scene before disturbance not only aids analysis but strengthens the final report's credibility in legal proceedings.

The effectiveness of witness interviews also highlights the human element as a valuable supplement to physical evidence. While material traces can be conclusive, early witness accounts often direct attention to less obvious sources of ignition or structural failure, helping to narrow down areas for closer examination. This supports the view that forensic methodology must integrate both technical and observational components to construct a complete narrative.

The consistent admissibility of evidence collected using the described methods further underscores the importance of clear documentation and a strict chain of custody. In forensic investigations where legal outcomes depend on the integrity of collected materials, the process is as critical as the findings themselves. These results confirm that the rigor applied in the field directly affects both the outcome of an investigation and its legal sustainability.

This work reinforces the principle that while every fire or explosion presents unique challenges, the application of a disciplined, evidence-driven methodology can provide clarity in even the most chaotic environments. The outcomes suggest that this framework should be adopted as a standard practice across investigative units to ensure consistency and objectivity.

In conclusion, the methodology and forensic rules presented here are not only effective in revealing causes and origins but are also practical, reproducible, and legally sound. The findings affirm that detailed observation, controlled evidence handling, and structured documentation remain the core pillars of successful forensic fire and explosion investigation.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study confirms that a structured and disciplined approach to on-site fire and explosion investigations greatly enhances the accuracy, consistency, and legal reliability of forensic outcomes. Key findings emphasize the importance of early scene preservation, systematic documentation, and precise evidence collection. The successful integration of field observations with laboratory analysis reinforces the value of context in interpreting forensic data. The methodology outlined here provides a reproducible framework that can be applied across various incident types, ensuring that investigators maintain objectivity while adhering to legal and scientific standards. These practices not only strengthen the technical foundation of forensic investigations but also contribute meaningfully to legal processes and public safety. As fire and explosion incidents continue to pose serious risks, the adoption of such standardized procedures is essential for advancing the field of forensic investigation.

REFERENCES

- Fire Technology. (2023). *Fire Technology* (Journal special issue covering fire investigation research and methods). *Fire Technology*, 59(4). Springer.
- Fire Protection Handbook, 21st Edition. (2023). NFPA. (*Reference handbook including updated investigation protocols and hazard assessment guidelines.*)

- International Organization under NIST/OSAC Fire & Explosion Investigation Subcommittee. (2024). *Strengthening fire and explosion investigation in the United States: A strategic vision for moving forward* (2021 guidance updated 2024). NIST—OSAC.
- León, D., Castells, B., Amez, I., Casín, J., & García-Torrent, J. (2023). Experimental quantification of fire damage inside pyrotechnic stores. *Applied Sciences*, 13(10), 6181. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13106181>
- Lin, Q., Li, Z., Zeng, K., Fan, H., Li, W., & Zhou, X. (2023). FireMatch: A semi-supervised video fire detection network based on consistency and distribution alignment. *arXiv*. Retrieved from arXiv.org
- Liu, P., Ni, S., Stoliarov, S. I., & Tang, P. (2024). Automated image-based identification and consistent classification of fire patterns with quantitative shape analysis and spatial location identification. *arXiv*. Retrieved from arXiv.org
- Marrone, M., Tarantino, F., Stellacci, A., Baldassarra, S. L., Cazzato, G., Vinci, F., & Dell'Erba, A. (2022). Forensic analysis and identification processes in mass disasters: Explosion of gun powder in the fireworks factory. *Molecules*, 27(1), 244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27010244>
- Meleti, U., & Razi, A. (2023). Obscured wildfire flame detection by temporal analysis of smoke patterns captured by unmanned aerial systems. *arXiv*. Retrieved from arXiv.org
- National Fire Protection Association. (2021). *NFPA 921: Guide for Fire and Explosion Investigations* (2021 ed.). NFPA.
- National Fire Protection Association. (2022). *NFPA 1033: Standard for Professional Qualifications for Fire Investigators* (2022 ed.). NFPA.
- Rasty, J., Parker, O., & Mills, M. (2023). Forensic engineering investigation of factors contributing to the explosion of an international natural gas pipeline. *Journal of the National Academy of Forensic Engineers*, 39(2). <https://doi.org/10.51501/jotnafe.v39i2.848>
- Svare, M., & Hanke, N. (2023). Forensic examination of post-fire damaged electrical conductors by quantitative measurement. *Journal of the National Academy of Forensic Engineers*, 40(1). <https://doi.org/10.51501/jotnafe.v40i1.856>
- Svare, M. (2023). Forensic examination of post-fire damaged electrical conductors by using X-ray radiographs. *Journal of the National Academy of Forensic Engineers*, 40(1). <https://doi.org/10.51501/jotnafe.v40i1.845>