THE EVOLUTION OF SOCIAL WELFARE POLICIES AND INSTRUMENTS IN GREECE

Angeliki Tzitzirika
Department of Sociology, SWU "Neofit Rilski", Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria. angeliketzitzirika@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper examines the evolution of social welfare policies and instruments in Greece from the late twentieth century welfare expansion to the post-crisis period, focusing on how fiscal pressures, institutional reforms, and European policy coordination reshaped protection against poverty and social risks. The purpose is to trace the shift from a fragmented, occupation-linked model of benefits toward more targeted and standardized instruments, and to evaluate what this transition implies for policy effectiveness, benefit adequacy, social inclusion, and administrative accessibility. Methodologically, the study applies a literature review. The results indicate three broad developments. First, during the years of economic adjustment, Greece implemented extensive recalibration of contributory schemes, especially pensions, alongside measures that tightened eligibility and sought to consolidate governance and control expenditure. Second, the country institutionalized a nationwide last-resort safety net through a minimum income scheme, which was designed to combine income support with pathways to social services and labour market reintegration. Third, welfare delivery gradually incorporated more centralized standards and digitalized procedures intended to reduce administrative barriers and improve transparency and take-up, although implementation capacity remained uneven across territories. The study concludes that Greek social welfare has become more targeted, more formally integrated, and more instrument-driven, yet it continues to face challenges related to benefit adequacy under high living costs, coverage gaps for precarious households, and variations in local service availability that limit the effectiveness of activation and social inclusion components. Recommendations emphasize strengthening the adequacy and responsiveness of minimum income and housing-related supports, improving coordination between central administration and municipalities, investing in professionalized case management and enabling services, and using integrated data systems and outreach to reduce non-take-up among eligible groups.
Keywords: Greece, social welfare, social protection reform, minimum income, poverty and social exclusion, policy instruments

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last four decades, social welfare in Greece has been reshaped by successive waves of expansion, crisis-driven retrenchment, and administrative modernization. The late twentieth century was marked by the consolidation of contributory social insurance and the broadening of protection through occupation-linked funds and categorical benefits. However, the architecture that emerged remained highly fragmented, with unequal entitlements across occupational groups and a comparatively weak, unevenly coordinated set of means-tested supports for households facing poverty risks. The sovereign debt crisis and the subsequent economic adjustment period exposed the fiscal and institutional vulnerabilities of this model, bringing social protection to the center of macroeconomic stabilization and governance reform. Ex-post assessments of the adjustment programmes emphasize that social policy change in Greece during 2010–2018 was strongly conditioned by expenditure control imperatives and by the need to rebuild administrative capacity and monitoring mechanisms (European Commission, 2023).

Within this context, welfare reforms were not limited to parametric adjustments but involved a reconfiguration of policy instruments. First, major recalibration occurred in contributory pillarsthrough unification of rules, reductions in distortions, and measures intended to curb long-term costs. Analytical accounts of pension reform highlight how the reform sequence sought to reduce fragmentation while also tightening eligibility and recalculating benefits, often under severe distributional pressures (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2021). Second, Greece moved toward institutionalizing a nationwide "last-resort" safety net via a minimum income scheme, designed to provide income support while connecting beneficiaries to social services and activation-oriented pathways. Recent evaluation-oriented scholarship frames the Greek Guaranteed Minimum Income as a critical step toward standardization, while also questioning adequacy, accessibility, and the strength of enabling components in local implementation (Feronas & Spyrisakis, 2024; Feronas & Kourachanis, 2025). Complementing this line of work, the process evaluation of the Social Solidarity Income rollout underscores the centrality of targeting accuracy, take-up, and administrative functioning for achieving anti-poverty effects (World Bank, 2019).

A third, increasingly salient transformation concerns welfare delivery and the governance of access. Reforms in Greece have progressively incorporated centralized information infrastructures and digital procedures—partly to reduce administrative costs, accelerate processing times, and improve transparency. Evidence from the e-government and digital-transformation literature suggests that the crisis period and its aftermath accelerated investments in inter-operable registries and service digitization in agencies central to social policy implementation, including social insurance and benefit administration (Loukis & Kavallari, 2025). National strategic planning documents also present digitalization as a core lever for a less bureaucratic state and more integrated public services, including in domains relevant to social protection (Government of Greece, 2021). Yet, the same modernization trajectory raises enduring questions about uneven territorial capacity, digital exclusion, and the persistence of "administrative burden" for vulnerable groups.

The present article examines the evolution of social welfare policies and instruments in Greece from the late twentieth century welfare expansion to the post-crisis period. The objective is to trace the shift from a fragmented, occupation-linked configuration toward more targeted and standardized instruments, and to clarify what this transition implies for policy effectiveness, benefit adequacy, social inclusion, and administrative accessibility. Methodologically, the study employs a structured literature review, synthesizing research on contributory reforms, minimum income institutionalization, and the digital and administrative reorganization of welfare delivery, in order to identify convergent findings and persistent implementation tensions that shape contemporary Greek social protection.

2. METHODOLOGY

The present study employs a bibliographic literature review to examine the evolution of social welfare policies and instruments in Greece. A literature review is appropriate for this topic because welfare change is expressed primarily through legislative shifts, institutional redesign, and policy evaluations produced by international organizations and national authorities, alongside peer-reviewed analyses that interpret these developments within welfare state theory and comparative social policy (Fink, 2019; Jessop, 2016). The methodological goal is not to generate new primary data, but to build an analytically coherent synthesis that clarifies (a) the main reform phases, (b) the policy instruments emphasized in each phase, and (c) the recurring implementation tensions that shape outcomes such as adequacy, coverage, and access.

The review follows a narrative and integrative logic, combining policy-oriented "grey literature" with scholarly publications. Narrative synthesis is suitable when the evidence base includes heterogeneous materials—such as country reports, statistical releases, program evaluations, and conceptual scholarship—where the objective is to trace trajectories and interpret instrument choices rather than to estimate a single pooled effect size (Grant & Booth, 2009). To strengthen transparency and reduce arbitrariness in selection, the review is structured around explicit inclusion criteria, a defined search strategy, and a thematic synthesis procedure (Fink, 2019).

The search was organized around three clusters of terms: (1) "Greece" and "social welfare" or "social protection," (2) "minimum income," "guaranteed minimum income," "Social Solidarity Income," and "Minimum Guaranteed Income," and (3) "pension reform," "means-tested benefits," "active inclusion," and "activation." Searches targeted peer-reviewed databases and policy repositories, and were complemented by backward and forward searching (screening reference lists and using citation trails) to identify influential works and foundational policy documents (Fink, 2019). Because welfare reforms in Greece have been extensively documented by international bodies, key sources include reports and assessments from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, the World Bank, and European Union institutions, which provide standardized descriptions of instruments and governance shifts (Council of the European Union, 2023; OECD, 2018; World Bank, 2017). National statistical reporting was also used to contextualize the social problem addressed by reforms (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2024).

Included items met four criteria: (a) substantive relevance to Greek welfare policies and instruments; (b) analytical or descriptive coverage of reform design, implementation, or outcomes; (c) publication in peer-reviewed outlets or by recognized public institutions; and (d) temporal relevance, prioritizing materials that cover the post-2009 crisis and post-2017 safety-net institutionalization while also retaining select earlier contributions necessary for historical continuity. Excluded were items with purely journalistic treatment, unclear methodological provenance, or narrow focus without connection to policy instruments (Grant & Booth, 2009).

Quality appraisal was handled through source triangulation and attention to institutional credibility, privileging peer-reviewed scholarship and official evaluations where methods and indicators are explicit (Fink, 2019). Nevertheless, the review recognizes that welfare outcomes are sensitive to measurement choices and to changes in policy definitions over time, and that evaluation findings may reflect the mandates of producing institutions (Jessop, 2016). These limitations are addressed by comparing claims across multiple sources and by emphasizing convergent evidence when drawing interpretive conclusions.

3. RESULTS

The literature review showed that Greek social welfare policies had evolved through a sequence of reforms that progressively rebalanced the welfare mix and reconfigured the instruments used to address poverty and social risks. Across the sources, welfare provision was described as historically fragmented and unevenly protective for low-income groups, with social insurance occupying a dominant role and social assistance instruments remaining comparatively weak in coverage and coherence (World Bank, 2017).

The reviewed material indicated that the period following the sovereign debt crisis had been associated with extensive recalibration of contributory schemes, particularly pensions. Reforms were reported to have aimed at increasing fiscal sustainability and standardizing provisions across occupational categories, while also reshaping eligibility and benefit calculation rules (OECD, 2020). This recalibration was consistently presented as part of a broader attempt to reduce long-term expenditure pressures and to create a more unified governance structure for social insurance. At the same time, the literature documented that the social consequences of the crisis—rising unemployment, income losses, and increased vulnerability—had intensified policy attention to non-contributory instruments for working-age households (OECD, 2018).

A central result of the review was that Greece had institutionalized a nationwide minimum income mechanism as a core policy instrument for extreme poverty protection. The sources described the program's national roll-out in 2017 as a decisive shift toward a standardized, means-tested safety net that combined income support with linkages to social services and labour market integration pathways (Lalioti, 2017; OECD, 2020). Evaluative documents reported that the scheme had strengthened last-resort protection by establishing a clearer entitlement logic for households lacking adequate contributory benefits, and by introducing a structured framework for connecting beneficiaries to complementary supports (World Bank, 2019). The literature further indicated that the program's subsequent renaming and continuation reflected an effort to normalize minimum income protection within the Greek welfare architecture rather than treating it as an exceptional crisis response (OECD, 2020).

The review also found that welfare delivery had become more standardized and increasingly mediated through administrative and digital procedures. Sources reported that reforms had promoted centralized rules, unified application processes, and stronger use of information systems to improve transparency and targeting, thereby seeking to limit errors and improve consistency in eligibility decisions (World Bank, 2017, 2019). However, the evidence suggested that implementation capacity had remained uneven, especially where access depended on local service availability and effective coordination between municipalities and central institutions. This unevenness was repeatedly associated with risks of non-take-up and variable quality of activation and inclusion components, particularly for households facing multidimensional disadvantages (OECD, 2018; World Bank, 2017).

Finally, the statistical context reviewed indicated that poverty and social exclusion pressures had persisted, reinforcing the policy salience of effective non-contributory benefits. National indicators reported continued exposure to poverty risk, supporting the interpretation that the adequacy and reach of social transfers—especially beyond pensions—remained central to welfare effectiveness for vulnerable groups (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2024). Overall, the reviewed evidence suggested that Greek welfare reform had produced a more targeted and instrument-driven system, with clearer nationwide minimum income protection and more standardized delivery, while leaving unresolved challenges related to adequacy under high living costs and unequal access to enabling services.

4. DISCUSSIONS

The findings of this review were significant because they suggested that Greek social welfare policy had not simply expanded or contracted in response to crisis pressures, but had been reoriented through a deliberate redesign of instruments. In substantive terms, the welfare state appeared to have moved toward a more explicit "architecture" of protection, in which policy goals were increasingly pursued through standardized rules, clearer eligibility frameworks, and a stronger distinction between contributory insurance and means-tested assistance. This instrument-focused transformation mattered because it altered the way social risks were translated into entitlements: protection became less dependent on occupational status and contribution histories for working-age households, and more dependent on administrative eligibility, income assessment, and interaction with service systems. Such a shift aligned with broader European preferences for minimum income protection linked to active inclusion, yet it also created new vulnerabilities where administrative capacity or local service provision was weak (Council of the European Union, 2023; OECD, 2018).

Interpreting these results within the wider fabric of welfare state knowledge, the Greek trajectory reflected a familiar pattern of "recalibration" under austerity: social insurance domains were consolidated and adjusted for sustainability while safety-net instruments were strengthened to address extreme poverty. The policy logic implied that fiscal sustainability and social protection were pursued simultaneously, but with different instruments and distinct normative priorities. Contributory reforms prioritized long-term budget control and standardization, whereas the minimum income scheme prioritized last-resort protection and a pathway to inclusion. This dual movement suggested that Greece increasingly resembled welfare systems where minimum income plays a central buffering role, particularly when labour markets produce prolonged unemployment or precarious work and when contributory entitlements do not reach those at highest risk (World Bank, 2017).

The significance of the minimum income institutionalization was not limited to poverty relief. It also signaled an administrative modernization that potentially improved fairness by applying more uniform national criteria. However, the review indicated that instrument effectiveness depended on implementation conditions that were not guaranteed. Minimum income schemes were designed to be more than cash transfers; they were intended to function as platforms connecting households to services and employment supports. Where enabling services were insufficient or unevenly distributed, the inclusion component likely weakened, and the program's impact risked being narrowed to short-term income stabilization rather than durable social participation (OECD, 2018). In this sense, the results pointed to a classical implementation gap: policy design advanced toward integrated inclusion, while delivery capacity and local coordination may not have fully matched that ambition.

The discussion also underscored a central trade-off that welfare scholarship frequently highlights: targeting can sharpen distributive efficiency but can also undermine inclusiveness when administrative burdens rise. The move toward standardized and increasingly digitalized delivery was therefore ambivalent. On one hand, it likely reduced discretion and improved transparency, contributing to more consistent eligibility decisions and potentially limiting leakage (World Bank, 2019). On the other hand, digitalization and documentation demands could have amplified exclusion for households facing low digital skills, unstable housing, or weak access to municipal support. The results therefore implied that welfare effectiveness in Greece was shaped not only by benefit levels and eligibility thresholds, but also by the practical accessibility of instruments.

Finally, the persistence of poverty risk indicators suggested that reforms had not fully resolved adequacy concerns. Even when a minimum income scheme existed, broader cost-of-living conditions and housing pressures could have limited the protective power of cash transfers, particularly if benefits did not adjust rapidly to socioeconomic shocks or if complementary supports remained fragmented. This implied that the next phase of welfare development would benefit from strengthening adequacy and from investing in service capacity and coordination, so that "active inclusion" could be realized as an operational reality rather than a policy intention (Council of the European Union, 2023).

This study concludes that the evolution of social welfare policies and instruments in Greece has been shaped less by steady expansion and more by deliberate redesign under fiscal pressure, heightened social need, and broader European policy coordination. Over time, Greece has moved away from a predominantly fragmented, occupation-linked pattern of protection toward a more instrument-driven approach in which standardized eligibility rules, stronger means-testing, and more centralized procedures increasingly determine access to support. For many working-age households, social protection has therefore become more closely tied to administrative criteria and targeted transfers, while contributory insurance continues to play a central role, especially in pensions.

A major turning point in this trajectory is the institutionalization of a nationwide minimum income scheme. The review indicates that this development strengthened last-resort protection by providing a clearer safety net for people with weak or interrupted contributory entitlements and by creating a policy platform intended to connect income support with social services and pathways back into employment. At the same time, the effectiveness of such schemes depends heavily on what happens at the delivery level. Inclusion and activation components require consistent local coordination, adequate service availability, and professional capacity, all of which remain uneven across territories.

The analysis also suggests that reforms emphasizing standardization and digitalized access bring both advantages and risks. Unified procedures and integrated information systems can improve transparency and consistency in eligibility decisions, reduce delays, and support more systematic monitoring. However, increased reliance on digital and centralized processes can also intensify barriers for groups facing digital exclusion, unstable housing, low administrative literacy, or limited access to support services, increasing the likelihood that eligible households will not claim benefits.

Finally, the review underlines that benefit adequacy remains a persistent challenge. Even where safety-net instruments are stronger and more clearly structured, their protective impact can be weakened by high living costs, housing burdens, and gaps in complementary supports. Overall, Greek social welfare has become more targeted, more standardized, and more administratively integrated, yet its long-term effectiveness will depend on narrowing implementation gaps and ensuring that targeted instruments translate into inclusive, adequate, and practically reachable support for vulnerable populations.

REFERENCES

Council of the European Union. (2023). *Council recommendation of 30 January 2023 on adequate minimum income ensuring active inclusion (2023/C 41/01).* Official Journal of the European Union.

European Commission. (2023). *Commission staff working document: Ex-post evaluation of the economic adjustment programmes in Greece during 2010–2018* (SWD).

Feronas, A., & Spyridakis, M. (2024). Implementing the Guaranteed Minimum Income in Greece: An evaluation from the perspective of social services professionals. *Social Cohesion and Development*, *19*(1), 5–23. https://doi.org/10.12681/scad.39739.

Feronas, A., & Kourachanis, N. (2025). The Contribution of the Guaranteed Minimum Income in Alleviating Extreme Poverty in Greece. *Journal of Balkan and Near Eastern Studies*, *27*(5), 761–778. https://doi.org/10.1080/19448953.2025.2461967

Government of Greece. (2021). *Greece 2.0: National Recovery and Resilience Plan*.

Hellenic Statistical Authority. (2024). *Risk of poverty (2023).* Hellenic Statistical Authority.

International Monetary Fund. (2021). *Reforming the Greek pension system* (Working paper/Staff discussion).

Lalioti, V. (2017). Guaranteed Minimum Income ‘à la Grecque’: The chronicle of a long-awaited scheme. *Social Cohesion and Development*, *11*(2), 123–138. https://doi.org/10.12681/scad.14131

Loukis, E. & Kavallari, C. (2025). An Empirical Investigation of the Effects of Economic Crisis on the Digital Transformation of Public Welfare ? Some Unexpected Findings. Digital Government: Research and Practice. 6. 10.1145/3719296.

OECD. (2018). *Generating employment, raising incomes and addressing poverty in Greece.* Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

OECD. (2020). *OECD contribution to the evaluation of the ESM financial assistance programme for Greece.* European Stability Mechanism.

World Bank. (2017). *Greece: Social welfare review.* World Bank.

World Bank. (2019). *A quantitative evaluation of the Greek Social Solidarity Income program.* World Bank.