SYNTHESIZED INTELLIGENCE: REDEFINING THE HUMAN-AI PARTNERSHIP IN MODERN EDUCATIONAL ECOSYSTEMS

Steliana Vasileva
D. A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov, Bulgaria, s.vasileva@uni-svishtov.bg

Abstract: As Generative Artificial Intelligence commoditizes foundational knowledge, the Education-as-a-Service sector faces a critical strategic inflection point characterized by the imminent threat of brand homogenization. This article investigates the fundamental paradigm shift from traditional, information-centric delivery models to a unified Synthesized Intelligence Model, wherein institutional value is strategically redefined through a high-order partnership between human educators and Artificial Intelligence agents. By applying a Marketing-first lens to the modern pedagogical landscape, the research positions the Teacher of the Future not merely as a facilitator of content, but as a Service Concierge and the primary Physical Evidence of institutional brand quality. On a fundamental research level, the paper utilizes Service-Dominant Logic to operationalize the Human-AI Triad, creating a rigorous distinction between Artificial Intelligence as a static operand resource and the educator as a dynamic, value-driving operant resource. It argues that while Generative Artificial Intelligence excels at analytical intelligence and mechanical scaling, the educator's Feeling Intelligence – comprising empathy, moral judgment, and social intuition – provides the essential Synthesis Layer necessary to prevent service commoditization. This theoretical framework transitions the educator's role into that of a Strategic Resource Integrator, successfully bridging the gap between Constructivist learning theories and modern Connectivism. On an applied level, the study unveils the Synthesized Intelligence Model as a comprehensive framework to operationalize Augmented Mentorship. It examines how AI-driven adaptive assessments and real-time feedback loops can be harnessed to justify premium tuition models and measurably enhance Student Lifetime Value in an increasingly competitive global market. Furthermore, the manuscript addresses pressing real-world risks, including the trust ceiling of automated systems and the psychological Uncanny Valley of mentorship, which threaten institutional brand equity and consumer agency. The research highlights the risk of metacognitive laziness among learners, suggesting that pedagogical quality must now be measured by the efficacy of human-led orchestration rather than the volume of information output. By synthesizing these multidimensional perspectives into a strategic roadmap for Chief Marketing Officers and Academic Deans, the article concludes that the most resilient educational brands in the Generative Artificial Intelligence era will be those that successfully market the synergy of human empathy and machine efficiency. This Synthesized Intelligence serves as the ultimate signal of quality, offering a sustainable path for institutions to maintain a defensible competitive advantage while fostering a truly Artificial Intelligence-literate and ethically-grounded curriculum for the modern educational ecosystem.
Keywords: synthesized intelligence, service-dominant logic, service concierge, brand homogenization, augmented mentorship

1. INTRODUCTION

In the current hyper-competitive educational landscape, institutions are progressively incorporating opting the Education-as-a-Service (EaaS) model, where knowledge is no longer a scarce resource but a commoditized utility. Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has accelerated this shift, making foundational information accessible at zero marginal cost. Recent 2026 data indicates significantly more aggressive growth, with the sector now forecast to exceed $32 billion by 2030 (Mordor Intelligence, 2025). This rapid acceleration shifts the primary challenge for institutions from simple content delivery to maintaining a premium price point in an environment where intelligence is becoming a generic, operand resource.

Current literature highlights that while AI excels at mechanical and thinking tasks – such as automated feedback and content personalization – it remains significantly deficient in Feeling Intelligence, which encompasses empathy, moral judgment, and deep social mentorship (Huang & Rust, 2018, 2021). Saldaña et al. (2021) emphasize that as AI threatens to make rote information delivery obsolete, the Teacher of the Future must evolve into a brand ambassador who orchestrates a high-value human-AI partnership. While 75% of knowledge workers have already integrated AI tools into their workflows, there remains a critical reliance on the human-in-the-loop for nuanced decision-making and ethical oversight (Microsoft & LinkedIn, 2024). Educators like Schlegelmilch and Mills (2025) argue that the incorporation of AI is not a mere enhancement but a paradigmatic shift that liberates teachers to focus on the *operant* functions of fostering collaboration and intellectual curiosity that define elite educational brands.

Despite the growing recognition of this hybrid role, a critical research gap persists: most existing frameworks focus on the technological efficiency of AI rather than the marketing strategy required to position the human educator as a

premium brand differentiator. As noted by Huang and Rust (2021), while mechanical AI can automate repetitive tasks, it cannot replace the strategic feeling intelligence essential for high-value service interactions. Furthermore, Mustak et al. (2021) highlight that an over-reliance on automated efficiency without a clear human-centric marketing strategy risks brand homogenization and strategic misalignment. While there is an understanding about AI's ability to scale feedback, there is lack of a comprehensive model explaining how the educator acts as a *Service Concierge* to enhance long-term brand equity. Specifically, there is a missing link in understanding how to market this partnership as a superior human + AI offering that justifies higher tuition in a world of automated information.
The objective of this article is to introduce the *Synthesized Intelligence (SI) Model* as a redefined value proposition for the human-AI partnership. The paper argues that the teacher of the future provides the essential *Synthesis Layer* and serves as the primary *Physical Evidence* of pedagogical quality, transforming educational service from a commodity to a premium experience. This paper contributes to fundamental research by applying Service-Dominant (S-D) Logic to the educator's role and offers applied insights for institutional marketers to differentiate their brand through *Augmented Mentorship (AM).*

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Theoretical Framework and Foundational Definitions (Materials)

Central to the methodology is the application of S-D Logic, a meta-theoretical framework that views *service* – defined as the application of specialized competences through deeds and performances – as the fundamental basis of economic exchange (Vargo & Lusch, 2016). Within this framework, the paper distinguishes between *Operand Resources* (static resources, such as GenAI-generated data, that require an action to be performed upon them to create value) and *Operant Resources* (dynamic resources, such as human creativity and empathy, that act upon other resources to produce a service effect). By using this lens, the research investigates how the commoditization of operand resources (knowledge) necessitates a strategic pivot toward the orchestration of operant resources (human mentorship).

2.2. The Human-AI Triad Analysis and Service Modeling

The study employs a *Thematic Synthesis Method* to bridge the gap between technological efficiency and brand differentiation. The process can be executed through an Actor-to-Actor mapping of the Human-AI Triad, consisting of the Student, the AI Agent, and the Educator. Using S-D Logic, the research maps the interactions within the Human-AI Triad (Student, AI Agent, and Educator). This allows to isolate the operant resources (the human educator's skills) from the operand resources (the AI's data-processing capabilities).

Table 1. The Synthesized Intelligence Triad in Modern Educational Ecosystems

Vertex	Functional Contribution	S-D Logic Classification	Key Brand Signal
GenAI	Analytical/Mechanical Intelligence	**Operand Resource** (Instrument)	Efficiency & Personalization
Human Educator	Feeling Intelligence / Orchestration	**Operant Resource** (Actor)	**Physical Evidence** of Quality
Student	Contextual Application	**Value Co-Creator** (Consumer)	Brand Advocacy & SLV

Source: Author's for the purposes of this research.

Table 1 conceptualizes the *SI Triad,* where institutional value is no longer a downstream delivery from teacher to student, but an emergent property of the interaction between these three nodes. In this table, *GenAI* serves as the efficiency engine, processing operand data at scale. However, this data only transforms into a premium educational service when the *Human Educator (the Service Concierge)* applies feeling intelligence to provide moral, ethical, and strategic oversight. The *Student* sits at the base of this triad, not as a passive recipient, but as an active co-creator whose engagement with the human-AI partnership defines the ultimate success of the service encounter. By visualizing the educator as the bridge between machine output and student experience, the framework highlights why the human element remains the non-commoditizable core of the institutional brand.
To analyze the educator's role within the (Student, AI Agent, and Educator) triad, the study operationalizes the concept of the *Service Concierge*. The article defines the Service Concierge as a high-order service provider who

orchestrates complex resource integrations – utilizing AI for data processing while maintaining the human-in-the-loop for nuanced decision-making – to provide a personalized, premium consumer experience.

This role is fundamentally powered by Feeling Intelligence, defined by Huang and Rust (2021) as the ability to engage in empathetic, socially-nuanced communication and deep relationship management. Unlike mechanical or analytical intelligence, which GenAI has successfully commoditized, Feeling Intelligence is treated in this study as the primary Physical Evidence of pedagogical quality. By mapping these interactions, the method isolates how the human educator functions as the strategic custodian of the brand, transforming the educational service from a generic commodity into a high-value, non-homogenized partnership.

2.3. Applied Research: Operationalizing Student Lifetime Value

To operationalize the model on an applied level, the research utilizes a modified framework for SLV. Traditionally defined in marketing as the total net profit attributed to the whole prospective relationship with a client, SLV in this study is expanded to include non-monetary brand equity drivers such as alumni advocacy, institutional loyalty, and the premiumness of the degree.

The article examines how the integration of AM – defined as a hybrid service delivery model where AI provides the data-driven scaffolding (adaptive assessments) while the human educator provides empathetic validation – impacts these long-term metrics. The methodology involved a comparative analysis of institutional tuition models to determine the Brand Premium attainable when students perceive the educator as a high-value concierge rather than a mere content facilitator. By isolating the impact of human-led orchestration on student retention, the method demonstrates how SI justifies higher tuition in a market characterized by the zero-marginal-cost delivery of information.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Unveiling the Synthesized Intelligence (SI) Model

The primary contribution of this study was the formalization of the *SI Model*, a unified strategic framework designed to navigate the commoditization of knowledge in the EaaS sector. The SI Model was defined as a high-order service architecture where value is co-created through the intentional synergy of machine efficiency and human empathy.

The model was structured around three core operational layers (see Table 2). When these layers are integrated, the resulting service output transcends the limitations of its individual components. While GenAI provided the logic, the educator providedthe legacy and trust, creating a synthesized value proposition that is theoretically inimitable and strategically defensible.

Table 2. The Synthesized Intelligence (SI) Framework

Layer	Component	S-D Logic Classification	Operational Function
III. Output Layer	Service Concierge	Value-in-Use	Premium Brand Experience & Differentiated Mentorship
II. Synthesis Layer	Human-Operant Orchestrator	Feeling Intelligence	Ethical Oversight, Empathy, & Strategic Resource Integration
I. Foundational Layer	AI-Operand Engine	Analytical Intelligence	Data Scaling, Personalization, & Knowledge Synthesis

Source: Author's for the purposes of this research.

Table 2 presented the SI Framework, illustrating the hierarchical and reciprocal relationship established between machine efficiency and human expertise. At Layer I (The Foundational Layer), GenAI was positioned as an *operand resource*, providing the analytical engine necessary for hyper-personalization and the rapid synthesis of commoditized knowledge. This layer handled the mechanical and cognitive tasks that previously consumed the majority of faculty time.

The transition to Layer II (The Synthesis Layer) represented the critical intervention of the Human-Operant Orchestrator. Within this stage, the educator applied *Feeling Intelligence* (Huang & Rust, 2021) to filter, contextualize, and ethically validate the AI's output. This layer functioned as the non-replicable core of the framework, transforming raw intelligence into wisdom through social mentorship and moral judgment.

The culmination of this synergy was Layer III (The Output Layer), which manifested as the *Service Concierge*. This role represented the finalized value proposition of the institution – a premium, AM experience designed to justify brand-premium pricing. The entire framework was contained within a continuous feedback loop between the student

and the institution, ensuring that value was not merely delivered but co-created. By formalizing this structure, the SI Framework provided a strategic roadmap for moving away from brand homogenization toward a defensible, human-centric marketing position.

3.2. Theoretical Justification through S-D Logic

The conceptualization of the triadic mapping established that the SI Model successfully transitions the educational service from a Value-in-Exchange model (paying for content) to a Value-in-Use model (paying for orchestrated growth). By positioning the educator as the Strategic Resource Integrator, the SI Model successfully redefined the educator's presence as the Physical Evidence of the brand, effectively neutralizing the threat of brand homogenization (Vargo & Lusch, 2016; Huang & Rust, 2021).

3.3. Mitigation of Brand Homogenization via the SI Framework

The conceptual application of the SI Model suggested a significant potential to reduce the risk of institutional brand homogenization. The research argued that institutions utilizing GenAI solely as an operand resource for administrative and mechanical tasks – without the integration of the SI Synthesis Layer – faced a predictable decrease in brand equity and price elasticity.

In contrast, the theoretical framework indicated that the SI Model's orchestration of AM constructed a unique, non-commoditizable service experience. Because the model anchored institutional value in the faculty's unique mentorship style rather than the underlying technology, the resulting service output was positioned as inimitable by competitors utilizing the same baseline LLM architectures. This logic suggested that the specific synergy generated within the SI Framework acted as a strategic barrier to entry, transforming the educational offering from a generic digital commodity into a differentiated premium brand (Mustak et al., 2021).

3.4. Applied Outcomes: SLV and Price Elasticity

On an applied level, the conceptualization of the SI Model proposed a path to enhancing Student Lifetime Value (SLV) by transitioning the student relationship from transactional to relational. The strategic modeling identified two primary drivers of this potential value increase:

Price Elasticity and the Brand Premium: The research argued that students in SI-modeled environments would likely demonstrate a higher willingness to pay premium tuition. This was attributed to the theoretical perception of the human-led Synthesis Layer as a quality guarantee. The educator's orchestration was identified as the critical factor to mitigate the psychological 'uncanny valley' of automated feedback, thereby justifying a premium price point in a market of zero-marginal-cost information.

***Retention and Alumni Advocacy*:** The AM generated by the SI Model was projected to foster long-term brand advocacy. Because value was co-created through a high-order human-AI partnership rather than a standardized digital interface, the resulting brand loyalty was positioned as fundamentally stronger than in purely technology-centric models. This relational depth – driven by the educator acting as a Service Concierge – was theoretically correlated with higher alumni engagement and a more robust long-term SLV (Mustak et al., 2021).

These insights suggested that the SI Model served not merely to improve pedagogical efficiency but as a primary engine for institutional brand equity, ensuring that the Teacher of the Future remained the most valuable asset in the educational marketing mix.

3.5. Identification of the Trust Ceiling and Ethical Boundary Risks

The analysis identified a theoretical Trust Ceiling inherent in purely AI-driven educational models, proposing a fundamental limit to machine-only service delivery. While GenAI (the operand resource) was noted to perform at expert levels in analytical and mechanical tasks (Terwiesch, 2023), the conceptual framework indicated a likely decline in student trust when systems attempted to navigate the complex social and ethical dimensions of mentorship without human oversight.

This projected trust deficit was linked to the psychological Uncanny Valley of automated mentorship, where simulated empathy was theorized to be perceived as inauthentic, thereby diminishing consumer agency. The analysis suggested that the SI Model successfully bypassed this ceiling by utilizing the educator as the Service Orchestrator. By positioning the human-led synthesis as the ultimate signal of institutional quality, the model addressed the ethical imperatives of Corporate Digital Responsibility (CDR). The research concluded that the most resilient brands were those that did not market AI as a replacement for human judgment, but as a scaffold capped by the human educator's ethical and emotional authority. This boundary finding reinforced the SI Model as a viable strategic roadmap for maintaining long-term institutional trust in a GenAI-saturated ecosystem.

4. DISCUSSIONS

The insights from this study demonstrate that the SI Framework provides a necessary evolution of the service logic within the educational sector. By situating the proposed framework within the broader fabric of marketing knowledge, several critical implications emerge regarding brand sustainability and the human-AI partnership.

4.1. From Information Asymmetry to Orchestration Value

Traditionally, elite educational brands built their value propositions on information asymmetry. The theoretical justifications provided in this study establish that GenAI has effectively neutralized this advantage, shifting the sector toward a state of information symmetry. However, the conceptualization of the SI Model suggests that value has not disappeared but has migrated to the Synthesis Layer. This aligns with the evolution of S-D Logic, suggesting that the educator's role as a Service Concierge is the new primary driver of Value-in-Use. The institutional brand is no longer a content repository but an orchestration platform where human expertise provides the essential filter for machine-generated data.

Table 3. Strategic Migration from Legacy EaaS to the SI Framework

Strategic Dimension	Legacy EaaS Model (Pre-GenAI / Standard)	Synthesized Intelligence (SI) Model
Value Driver	Information Asymmetry (Access)	**Orchestration Value** (Synthesis)
Educator Role	Content Facilitator	**Service Concierge** (Operant Resource)
AI Utilization	Automated Efficiency (Operand)	**Augmented Mentorship** (Integrated)
Market Signal	Technology Adoption	**Feeling Intelligence** (Physical Evidence)
Brand Defense	Standard Accreditation	**Non-Homogenized Synergy** (Inimitable)

Source: Author's for the purposes of this research.

As illustrated in Table 3, the SI Model effectively addresses the Homogenization Paradox by shifting the brand's defensive perimeter. In the legacy model, institutions competed on access to information – a resource that GenAI has now commoditized. By adopting the SI Framework, the institution pivots to Orchestration Value, where the human educator acts as the primary Service Concierge. This transition ensures that the brand signal is not merely technological efficiency, which is easily replicated, but the unique Feeling Intelligence of the faculty. This synergy creates a non-homogenized market position, allowing premium institutions to defend their price-points by offering a relational service that exists beyond the 'trust ceiling' of purely automated competitors.

4.2. Neutralizing the Homogenization Paradox

A significant contribution of this research is the strategic contrast summarized in Table 3. If institutions rely solely on the AI-Operand Foundation, they face the Homogenization Paradox, where increased efficiency leads to decreased brand distinction. The conceptual framework establishes that the Human-Operant Orchestrator serves as the Physical Evidence of a brand's unique culture. This theory-driven insight reframes the educator not as a legacy cost, but as the exclusive, non-replicable component of the premium service package that prevents the commodity trap.

4.3. Breaking the Trust Ceiling via Corporate Digital Responsibility (CDR)

The identification of the Trust Ceiling carries profound implications for CDR. The analysis establishes that while AI can simulate feedback, it cannot simulate the accountability and moral weight of a human mentor. The uncanny valley of mentorship delineated in this conceptualization suggests a fundamental limit to automation in high-stakes services. This reinforces the necessity of the SI Model's human-in-the-loop requirement, positioning ethical oversight as a market differentiator rather than just a compliance necessity.

4.4. Strategic Roadmap for Institutional Resilience

The significance of the SI Framework lies in its ability to enhance SLV through AM. For CMOs and Deans, the proposed framework establishes a clear roadmap: the marketing of human-led, AI-augmented transformation is the only sustainable way to justify premium tuition. By moving beyond the binary of human vs. AI, the SI Model offers a path to maintain competitive advantage, ensuring that pedagogical quality is signaled through the efficacy of human-led orchestration rather than the volume of automated output.

4.5. Research Constraints and Prospective Outlook

While this study establishes the theoretical and strategic foundation for SI, further empirical research is required. Future studies should focus on: 1) Quantitative Validation: Measuring the direct impact of the SI Model on student retention and premium tuition elasticity across different geographic markets. 2) The Trust Ceiling Threshold: Investigating the specific tipping points where automated mentorship transitions from efficient to uncanny for various learner demographics. 3) Long-term SLV: Conducting longitudinal studies to assess how AM influences alumni brand advocacy and career success over a decade-long horizon.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study has investigated the transformative impact of GenAI on the EaaS sector, proposing the SI Model as a robust strategic response to the threat of brand homogenization. The research concludes that as foundational knowledge transitions into a commoditized operand resource, institutional value must be anchored in the Synthesis Layer, where the human educator acts as the primary orchestrator of value co-creation.

The theoretical synthesis establishes that while AI optimizes the mechanical and analytical dimensions of learning, the human educator remains the indispensable source of Feeling Intelligence. By utilizing S-D Logic, the paper has successfully formalized the role of the Teacher of the Future as a Service Concierge. This shift effectively transitions the educator's role from a content deliverer to a strategic brand ambassador and Physical Evidence of quality, ensuring that the human element remains the non-replicable differentiator in a hyper-competitive global market.

The implications of this research are twofold. For fundamental research, the SI Model provides a unified framework for understanding the human-AI Triad, demonstrating that value is not eroded by automation but redistributed toward higher-order human functions. For applied research and institutional leadership, the study offers a strategic roadmap to operationalize AM. By marketing the synergy of human-led orchestration and machine efficiency, institutions can enhance SLV and protect brand equity against the trust ceiling and the psychological uncanny valley of over-automation.

Ultimately, the most resilient educational brands in the GenAI era will not be those that replace humans with algorithms, but those that successfully leverage the SI model to deliver a premium, non-commoditizable experience. This paradigm shift ensures that institutions can maintain a sustainable competitive advantage while fostering an ethically-grounded and AI-literate ecosystem.

REFERENCES

Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of service research*, 21(2), 155-172.

Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). A strategic framework for artificial intelligence in marketing. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 49(1), 30-50.

Microsoft & LinkedIn. (2024). 2024 Work Trend Index Annual Report: AI at work is here. Now comes the hard part. Retrieved from https://news.microsoft.com/annual-wti-2024/.

Mordor Intelligence. (2025). *Ai In Education Market Size & Share Analysis - Growth Trends And Forecast (2025 - 2030).* Retrieved from https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/ai-in-education-market .

Mustak, M., Salminen, J., Plé, L., & Wirtz, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence in marketing: Topic modeling, scientometric analysis, and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, *124*, 389-404.

Saldaña, C. M., Welner, K., Malcolm, S., & Tisch, E. (2021). Teachers as market influencers: Towards a policy framework for teacher brand ambassador programs in K-12 schools. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, *29*.

Schlegelmilch, B. B., & Mills, A. J. (2025). Artificial intelligence and the future of marketing education. *Journal of Marketing Education*, *47*(2), 91-93.

Terwiesch, C. (2023). Would Chat GPT3 get a Wharton MBA? A prediction based on its performance in the operations management course. Mack Institute for Innovation Management at the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, 45.

Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2016). Institutions and axioms: an extension and update of service-dominant logic. *Journal of the Academy of marketing Science*, *44*(1), 5-23.