

BUILDING INCLUSIVE SCHOOLS THROUGH FAMILY SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

Alexandra-Lida Siouli

Department of Sociology, SWU “Neofit Rilski”, Faculty of Philosophy, lidasiouli@hotmail.com

ORCID ID: 0009-0005-3600-530X

Abstract: This paper examines how sustainable partnerships between families, schools, and community agencies contribute to the development of inclusive schools. Inclusion is approached as a relational and organizational process through which students gain meaningful participation, a sense of belonging, access to support, and continuity in learning. Particular emphasis is placed on culturally and linguistically diverse families, immigrant families, and communities experiencing social or economic disadvantage. A narrative literature review was conducted, where sources were identified through targeted searches in Google Scholar, ERIC, Scopus, Web of Science, and publisher databases. Priority was given to literature published from 2021 onwards, while important previous studies were retained for conceptual basis. The materials were thematically structured around family involvement, culturally responsive communication, community involvement, shared decision-making, leadership, and sustainability of collaboration. The literature shows that inclusive schools emerge more consistently when family engagement is developed as a mutual collaboration rather than as a set of isolated school activities. Trust, accessible two-way communication, recognition of family knowledge, and opportunities for shared decision-making enhance participation across cultural and linguistic differences. Community organizations extend the supportive capacity of schools by connecting families to educational, social, linguistic, health, and cultural resources. School leadership plays a coordinating role by embedding collaborative practices in routines, professional learning, and institutional priorities. In conclusion, family, school, and community partnerships function as part of the relational infrastructure of inclusive education. Their contribution extends beyond parental involvement and supports a sense of belonging, attendance, participation, well-being, and greater institutional responsiveness. Recommendations. Schools can enhance inclusion by establishing culturally sensitive communication, creating diverse forms of family involvement, engaging community partners in stable collaborative structures, preparing teachers for collaborative work, and assessing participation through indicators of trust, access, reciprocity, and shared influence.

Keywords: inclusive education, family engagement, school community partnerships, culturally responsive education, community participation

1. INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education increasingly concerns the capacity of schools to create conditions in which all learners can participate meaningfully, experience belonging, access appropriate support, and develop within a common educational community. This perspective places attention on the social organization of schooling as well as on classroom practice. The UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report presents inclusion as a system-wide commitment to equitable participation across differences of background and ability and highlights the importance of community support for translating inclusive principles into everyday educational practice (UNESCO, 2020). Within this broader understanding, families and local communities become central participants in the construction of inclusive school environments.

The literature on family engagement has gradually moved from a model based mainly on parental attendance at school activities toward a partnership model based on reciprocity, trust, shared responsibility, and joint capacity building. Epstein et al. (2018) conceptualize school, family, and community partnership as an integrated field of practice in which multiple forms of involvement contribute to student learning and development. More recent work places stronger emphasis on equity and on the quality of relationships. Mapp and Bergman (2021) describe effective family engagement as a process grounded in respect, relational trust, solidarity, and shared educational purpose. Mapp et al. (2022) similarly synthesize evidence showing that strong family school partnerships contribute to outcomes for students, families, educators, and schools.

This shift has particular relevance for schools serving culturally and linguistically diverse populations. Families differ in their prior experiences of schooling, their linguistic resources, their expectations of institutional relationships, their available time, and their familiarity with administrative procedures. A uniform model of parental participation can therefore privilege families whose practices already correspond with school expectations. Research on equitable collaboration emphasizes the value of expanding parent roles from recipients of information to contributors to school knowledge, decision making, and improvement processes (Ishimaru, 2019). Culturally responsive family engagement develops this principle further by recognizing family histories, cultural knowledge, languages, and community networks as educational assets (Banks et al., 2025).

Evidence concerning migrant and multilingual families illustrates why this relational approach matters. Stewart et al. (2024) identified language, differing expectations, institutional practices, and limited opportunities for

meaningful participation as recurring issues in home school partnerships involving learners who use English as an additional language. More recent empirical work with migrant parents and teachers in Ireland shows that partnerships become stronger when schools recognize parents as holders of valuable knowledge and create opportunities for that knowledge to enter classroom and school life (Skinner et al., 2025). In the Greek context, Giavrimis and Dimitriadou (2023) also highlight the continuing importance of intercultural competence, language support, and institutional organization for the educational inclusion of migrant and refugee students.

Community participation adds another dimension to this process. Schools operate within local networks that include municipalities, cultural associations, social services, health providers, civil society organizations, faith communities, libraries, youth services, and informal community groups. These actors can extend the informational, social, cultural, and material resources available to learners and families. Winthrop et al. (2021), drawing on a global review of family school engagement strategies, show that collaboration can support both school improvement and wider education system transformation when families and schools develop shared understandings of educational goals. Meredith (2025) similarly demonstrates how strong school community relationships can build social capital, strengthen support systems, and widen opportunities for participation in disadvantaged communities.

The central problem addressed in the present paper concerns the way these three spheres can operate as a coherent partnership system rather than as separate forms of engagement. The purpose is to synthesize current literature on the mechanisms through which family school and community partnerships contribute to inclusive schooling. The review focuses on five questions embedded within the literature. These concern the relational foundations of effective partnership, the role of culturally responsive communication, the contribution of community resources, the organizational role of school leadership, and the conditions that support sustainable and equitable participation. The paper therefore conceptualizes inclusion as an outcome shaped through relationships among students, families, educators, leaders, and community actors.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study employed a narrative literature review design. This approach was selected because the topic includes diverse theoretical traditions, empirical designs, policy analyses, professional frameworks, and international contexts. The review aimed to develop an interpretive synthesis of recurring mechanisms and practical principles associated with family school and community partnerships and inclusive schooling.

Relevant literature was identified through targeted searches in Google Scholar, ERIC, Scopus, Web of Science, and publisher databases. Search combinations connected terms such as inclusive education, family engagement, family school partnership, school community partnership, community engagement, culturally responsive family engagement, migrant families, multilingual families, equitable collaboration, and school belonging. Priority was given to peer-reviewed journal articles and major scholarly or policy publications from 2021 onward. Earlier works were included when they provided established conceptual models or influential evidence for the development of the field.

Sources were considered relevant when they addressed at least one of four areas. The first area concerned the organization and outcomes of family school partnerships. The second concerned culturally or linguistically diverse families and equitable engagement. The third addressed the role of community actors and cross-sector collaboration. The fourth examined organizational conditions such as leadership, professional capacity, trust, communication, or shared decision making. Studies involving primary and secondary education were prioritized, together with research and frameworks that offered transferable implications for inclusive school development.

The synthesis proceeded through iterative thematic reading. Findings, concepts, and recommendations were grouped around recurring themes that appeared across the literature. These themes included relational trust, two-way communication, recognition of family knowledge, culturally responsive practice, family voice, community bridging, shared responsibility, leadership, professional preparation, and institutional sustainability. Attention was also given to the ways participation differs across social, cultural, linguistic, and economic contexts. The review therefore treated family engagement as a relational and context-sensitive process and community partnership as an extension of the school's capacity to respond to student and family needs.

As a narrative review, the analysis prioritized conceptual integration and convergence across sources. Greater interpretive weight was given to studies and reports with clear methodological descriptions, strong relevance to the research purpose, and direct implications for school practice. The final synthesis was organized according to the partnership mechanisms that most consistently supported inclusive school cultures.

3. RESULTS

The literature synthesis produced five closely connected findings. Together they show that inclusive schooling depends on the quality of relationships linking families, educators, school leaders, and community actors, as well as on the organizational structures that sustain these relationships.

The first finding concerns the transition from involvement to reciprocal partnership. Traditional forms of parental involvement often centre on activities designed by the school, including meetings, events, volunteering, or support for learning at home. These activities can contribute to school life, while the contemporary literature assigns greater value to reciprocity and shared influence. Epstein et al. (2018) provide a broad framework for multiple forms of school, family, and community cooperation. Later scholarship expands this framework by emphasizing the distribution of voice and decision making. Ishimaru (2019) identifies equitable collaboration as a model in which relationship building, parent capacity, and systemic capacity develop together. Mapp and Bergman (2021) similarly place relational trust and shared power at the centre of family engagement.

This movement toward partnership contributes to inclusion because it broadens the range of family knowledge that schools recognize. Families possess detailed knowledge of children's identities, interests, experiences, languages, strengths, and support needs. Peltier et al. (2024) argue for forms of engagement that validate diverse family knowledge and connect school practice with community and cultural contexts. Mapp et al. (2022) also describe family school partnership as an educational resource that can strengthen student learning, school climate, educator effectiveness, and family capacity. Within an inclusive school, family participation therefore becomes part of the educational process itself.

The second finding concerns culturally responsive communication and recognition. Communication appears throughout the literature as a core mechanism linking families with schools. Its inclusive value increases when communication is accessible, reciprocal, regular, and responsive to families' linguistic and cultural contexts. Banks et al. (2025) emphasize culturally responsive family engagement built around relationships, trust, communication, culture, diversity, collaboration, and partnership. Such an approach encourages educators to understand how institutional expectations are interpreted by families with different experiences of schooling.

This issue becomes especially visible in research with migrant and multilingual families. Stewart et al. (2024) show that home school partnerships involving learners who use English as an additional language are shaped by language access, institutional attitudes, and opportunities for genuine interaction. Skinner et al. (2025) found that migrant parents developed greater confidence when classroom participation acknowledged their knowledge and cultural resources. Their study illustrates the educational value of funds of knowledge, where family and community experience becomes a resource for learning rather than an external background factor.

Cuevas (2023) extends these insights through an equity-focused framework for partnerships with immigrant families. The report emphasizes professional preparation, relationship building, translation, bilingualism as an asset, family leadership, and collaboration with local organizations. These practices contribute to a school climate where families experience recognition and where students encounter continuity between their family identities and school participation. In Greece, Giavrimis and Dimitriadou (2023) similarly show that the implementation of intercultural education is connected with teacher perspectives, language, institutional support, and preparedness for diversity. Across these contexts, culturally responsive communication operates as a practical form of inclusion because it increases access to information, strengthens trust, and creates space for multiple forms of participation.

The third finding concerns community organizations as bridging structures. Family school relationships take place within wider social environments, and community partners can connect educational institutions with resources that families already know and trust. Stefanski et al. (2016) show that the role of families varies across different school community partnership models and can range from service receipt to active leadership and shared governance. Their analysis indicates that more comprehensive partnership models create wider opportunities for families to influence educational and community processes.

Winthrop et al. (2021) provide a global perspective and identify family school engagement as a mechanism for both improving and transforming education systems. Their review of strategies across multiple countries indicates that effective collaboration can align beliefs about education, strengthen participation, and connect schools with local capacities. Community actors can support language access, cultural mediation, health and social services, extracurricular learning, mentoring, family education, and practical navigation of public systems. This broader ecosystem becomes especially significant for families experiencing migration, poverty, or unfamiliarity with institutional procedures.

Meredith (2025) offers further evidence from a disadvantaged community in South Wales. Parent perspectives in the study linked strong school community relationships with social connection, volunteering, informal support, access to services, and wider community wellbeing. The findings demonstrate that a school can function as a community hub when local relationships are treated as educational resources. The inclusive value of such a model lies in the expansion of participation beyond formal school events. Families and community members gain multiple routes into school life, while schools gain knowledge, trust, resources, and local legitimacy.

The fourth finding concerns leadership and organizational capacity. Partnership quality depends on the extent to which engagement is embedded in school routines and priorities. Mapp and Bergman (2021) argue that sustainable family engagement requires systemic conditions and professional capacity. The Family Engagement Core Competencies developed by the National Association for Family, School, and Community Engagement

(2022) reinforce this direction by defining knowledge, skills, and dispositions required for professionals who work with families. Partnership work therefore belongs within educator preparation and continuing professional learning.

School leaders influence this process through priorities, resource allocation, staff expectations, communication structures, and relationships with external organizations. Leadership can create scheduled opportunities for family dialogue, establish multilingual communication routines, support family liaison roles, invite community organizations into planning processes, and include partnership goals within school improvement. The evidence synthesized by Mapp et al. (2022) also indicates that strong family engagement can contribute to positive school climate and greater responsiveness to community concerns. Inclusive leadership therefore operates partly through the creation of institutional conditions in which partnership becomes ordinary school practice.

The fifth finding concerns the relationship between partnership and a broader culture of belonging. The literature connects family and community engagement with academic participation, attendance, social and emotional wellbeing, school climate, and continuity of support. UNESCO (2020) frames inclusive education as a commitment that requires coordination across educational systems and communities. In this context, belonging develops through repeated experiences of recognition, participation, and access. Students observe whether their families are welcomed, whether their languages and experiences receive respect, and whether community knowledge enters school life.

The reviewed evidence suggests that partnership contributes to belonging through several pathways. Trust between adults can reduce uncertainty around school expectations. Accessible communication enables families to respond early to educational concerns and share relevant information. Community networks can provide additional support during transitions or periods of vulnerability. Shared decision making can strengthen family agency and institutional legitimacy. Culturally responsive practice can connect curriculum and school relationships with students' lived experiences. These mechanisms reinforce one another and create an inclusive environment in which participation becomes a shared responsibility.

Across the five themes, several recurring constraints also become visible. Time, language, transportation, work schedules, digital access, prior experiences with institutions, and unequal power can shape the forms of participation available to families. Peltier et al. (2024) emphasize the importance of examining historical, cultural, racial, linguistic, and socioeconomic contexts when designing engagement. Stewart et al. (2024) similarly identify the value of institutional and attitudinal change in partnerships with multilingual families. The synthesis therefore supports flexible forms of participation and partnership designs that respond to local conditions.

4. DISCUSSIONS

The findings position family school and community partnerships as part of the core architecture of inclusive education. Their value emerges through the combination of relationships, resources, shared knowledge, and institutional coordination. This interpretation extends the concept of family engagement beyond a set of activities and places it within school improvement and equity work. The central distinction concerns the difference between participation invited on school-defined terms and collaboration shaped jointly with families and community actors.

Three dimensions appear particularly important. The first is relational. Trust develops through respectful, predictable, and reciprocal interaction. Families are more able to participate when they experience educators as accessible partners and when their knowledge receives recognition. This principle corresponds with the liberatory approach described by Mapp and Bergman (2021) and the equitable collaboration framework advanced by Ishimaru (2019). From an inclusion perspective, relational trust supports a sense of membership for families as well as students.

The second dimension is cultural and communicative. Inclusive partnership requires communication systems that reflect linguistic diversity and multiple forms of family participation. Research with multilingual and migrant families shows that translation, cultural mediation, family knowledge, and flexible participation can strengthen school relationships (Stewart et al., 2024; Skinner et al., 2025). Culturally responsive engagement therefore involves both communication technique and institutional orientation. It encourages schools to view diversity as a resource for educational design.

The third dimension is organizational. Partnership becomes sustainable when leadership connects it with school improvement, professional learning, resource allocation, and community networks. Community organizations can extend school capacity, while stable coordination supports continuity across services and educational transitions. Winthrop et al. (2021) show that family school engagement can contribute to education system transformation when stakeholders align around shared goals. Meredith (2025) demonstrates the complementary importance of local social capital and school community relationships.

These findings also clarify the contribution of partnership to student inclusion. Family school and community collaboration can influence inclusion indirectly through stronger communication, coordinated support, and responsive educational planning. It can also influence inclusion directly through opportunities for families and

communities to participate in school culture, curriculum, events, decision making, and shared problem solving. Students then encounter a school environment that recognizes connections between home, community, identity, and learning.

For culturally diverse schools, the synthesis supports an asset-based orientation. Family practices can vary substantially from conventional school expectations while still expressing strong commitment to children's education. Banks et al. (2025) and Peltier et al. (2024) emphasize engagement models that begin from family strengths and cultural resources. Cuevas (2023) applies this approach specifically to immigrant families and highlights family leadership, bilingual assets, professional preparation, and collaboration with community organizations. These practices can help schools broaden the meaning of legitimate participation.

The review also has implications for evaluation. Schools often measure engagement through attendance at meetings or events. A partnership-oriented evaluation can include additional indicators such as the accessibility of communication, family trust, representation in decision making, diversity of participation formats, continuity of community collaboration, family influence on school practices, and student experiences of belonging. Such indicators align evaluation more closely with the relational and equity goals of inclusive schooling.

The narrative design of this study supports a broad synthesis across international and methodological contexts. The heterogeneity of the literature also encourages careful contextual interpretation. Partnership structures develop within particular education systems, demographic settings, and community histories. The strongest general conclusion therefore concerns mechanisms rather than a single universal model. Trust, reciprocity, cultural responsiveness, shared influence, community connection, and institutional commitment appear consistently across the literature as conditions that strengthen inclusive partnership.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This narrative review examined how family school and community partnerships contribute to the development of inclusive schools. The synthesis indicates that partnership is most productive when it functions as a sustained relational and organizational process. Family engagement contributes to inclusion through trust, two-way communication, recognition of family knowledge, shared decision making, and participation in learning. Community engagement extends this capacity by connecting schools with social, cultural, linguistic, health, and educational resources.

A central conclusion concerns the movement from parental involvement toward reciprocal partnership. Inclusive schools create multiple forms of participation and recognize families as contributors to educational knowledge and school improvement. This principle is especially important in culturally and linguistically diverse settings, where institutional routines can interact differently with family experiences, languages, and expectations. Culturally responsive engagement expands access and strengthens continuity between students' identities, family contexts, and school participation.

A second conclusion concerns the role of community. Community organizations can serve as bridges between families and schools and can expand the range of support available to learners. Their contribution becomes stronger when collaboration is stable, coordinated, and connected with school priorities. Schools can therefore operate as community hubs where educational, social, and relational resources meet around shared goals for children and young people.

A third conclusion concerns leadership and professional capacity. Partnership requires intentional organization. School leaders can support inclusion by embedding family and community engagement in improvement planning, staff learning, communication routines, and decision-making structures. Educator preparation can also include competencies for culturally responsive communication, collaborative problem solving, family dialogue, and cross-sector work.

The practical recommendations emerging from the review are clear. Schools can establish regular two-way communication in accessible languages and formats. They can create flexible opportunities for participation across school, home, and community settings. They can invite families into meaningful advisory and leadership roles. They can develop stable relationships with community organizations and local services. They can prepare staff to recognize cultural and linguistic resources as assets. They can evaluate partnership quality through trust, reciprocity, access, representation, and shared influence.

Family school and community partnerships therefore represent a central pathway for building inclusive schools. Their strength lies in connecting the educational mission of the school with the knowledge of families and the resources of communities. When these relationships become part of everyday school organization, inclusion develops as a shared practice of belonging, participation, support, and collective responsibility.

REFERENCES

- Banks, J., Kea, C. D., & Coleman, M. R. (2025). Culturally responsive family engagement: TEC special issue introduction. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 57(3), 180-183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00400599241287633>
- Cuevas, S. (2023). *Becoming an ally: Partnering with immigrant families to promote student success*. Carnegie Corporation of New York.

- Epstein, J. L., Sanders, M. G., Sheldon, S. B., Simon, B. S., Salinas, K. C., Jansorn, N. R., VanVoorhis, F. L., Martin, C. S., Thomas, B. G., Greenfield, M. D., Hutchins, D. J., & Williams, K. J. (2018). *School, family, and community partnerships: Your handbook for action* (4th ed.). Corwin.
- Giavrimis, P., & Dimitriadou, S. (2023). Interculturality in Greek education: Practices and challenges of implementation. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, 10(3), 72-88. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/1564>
- Ishimaru, A. M. (2019). From family engagement to equitable collaboration. *Educational Policy*, 33(2), 350-385. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904817691841>
- Mapp, K. L., & Bergman, E. (2021). *Embracing a new normal: Toward a more liberatory approach to family engagement*. Carnegie Corporation of New York.
- Mapp, K. L., Henderson, A. T., Cuevas, S., Franco, M., & Ewert, S. (2022). *Everyone wins!: The evidence for family-school partnerships and implications for practice*. Scholastic Professional.
- Meredith, A. G. (2025). Parent perspectives on strong school and community relationships in a South Wales Valley community. *School Community Journal*, 35(1), 195-226.
- National Association for Family, School, and Community Engagement. (2022). *Family engagement core competencies: A body of knowledge, skills, and dispositions for family-facing professionals*.
- Peltier, M. R., Edwards, P. A., & Sweeney, J. (2024). Reframing family engagement: Inclusive strategies that elevate and validate. *School Community Journal*, 34(2), 9-32.
- Skinner, B., O'Toole, B., Thiarnaigh, R., & Stewart, M. (2025). Home-school partnership with migrant families: A way forward on the island of Ireland. *Irish Educational Studies*, 1-33. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2025.2600950>
- Stefanski, A., Valli, L., & Jacobson, R. (2016). Beyond involvement and engagement: The role of the family in school-community partnerships. *School Community Journal*, 26(2), 135-160.
- Stewart, M., Skinner, B., Hou, H., & Kelly, R. (2024). A systematic literature review of home-school partnership for learners with English as an Additional Language (EAL): A way forward for the UK and Ireland. *Irish Educational Studies*, 43(2), 301-327. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2022.2074072>
- UNESCO. (2020). *Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education, all means all*. UNESCO.
- Winthrop, R., Barton, A., Ershadi, M., & Ziegler, L. (2021). *Collaborating to transform and improve education systems: A playbook for family-school engagement*. Brookings Institution.